



Council

Wednesday 3 April 2019 at 2.05 pm

(or at the conclusion of the Special Meeting of the Council, whichever should be the later)

**Council Chamber, Town Hall,
Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH**

The Press and Public are Welcome to Attend

COUNCIL

Wednesday 3 April 2019, at 2.05 pm

(or at the conclusion of the Special Meeting of the Council, whichever should be the later)

Council Chamber, Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH

The Press and Public are Welcome to Attend

MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

THE LORD MAYOR (Councillor Magid Magid)

THE DEPUTY LORD MAYOR (Councillor Tony Downing)

1	<i>Beauchief & Greenhill Ward</i> Simon Clement-Jones Bob Pullin Richard Shaw	10	<i>East Ecclesfield Ward</i> Andy Bainbridge Moya O'Rourke Steve Wilson	19	<i>Nether Edge & Sharrow Ward</i> Mohammad Maroof Jim Steinke Alison Teal
2	<i>Beighton Ward</i> Chris Rosling-Josephs Ian Saunders Sophie Wilson	11	<i>Ecclesall Ward</i> Roger Davison Shaffaq Mohammed Paul Scriven	20	<i>Park & Arbourthorne Ward</i> Julie Dore Ben Miskell Jack Scott
3	<i>Birley Ward</i> Denise Fox Bryan Lodge Karen McGowan	12	<i>Firth Park Ward</i> Abdul Khayum Alan Law Abtisam Mohamed	21	<i>Richmond Ward</i> Mike Drabble Dianne Hurst Peter Rippon
4	<i>Broomhill & Sharrow Vale Ward</i> Michelle Cook Magid Magid Kaltum Rivers	13	<i>Fulwood Ward</i> Sue Alston Andrew Sangar Cliff Woodcraft	22	<i>Shiregreen & Brightside Ward</i> Dawn Dale Peter Price Garry Weatherall
5	<i>Burngreave Ward</i> Jackie Drayton Talib Hussain Mark Jones	14	<i>Gleadless Valley Ward</i> Lewis Dagnall Cate McDonald Chris Peace	23	<i>Southey Ward</i> Mike Chaplin Tony Damms Jayne Dunn
6	<i>City Ward</i> Douglas Johnson Robert Murphy Martin Phipps	15	<i>Graves Park Ward</i> Ian Auckland Sue Auckland Steve Ayris	24	<i>Stannington Ward</i> David Baker Penny Baker Vickie Priestley
7	<i>Crookes & Crosspool Ward</i> Adam Hanrahan Mohammed Mahroof Anne Murphy	16	<i>Hillsborough Ward</i> Bob Johnson George Lindars-Hammond Josie Paszek	25	<i>Stocksbridge & Upper Don Ward</i> Jack Clarkson Keith Davis Francyne Johnson
8	<i>Darnall Ward</i> Mazher Iqbal Mary Lea Zahira Naz	17	<i>Manor Castle Ward</i> Lisa Banes Terry Fox Pat Midgley	26	<i>Walkley Ward</i> Olivia Blake Ben Curran Neale Gibson
9	<i>Dore & Totley Ward</i> Joe Otten Colin Ross Martin Smith	18	<i>Mosborough Ward</i> David Barker Tony Downing Gail Smith	27	<i>West Ecclesfield Ward</i> John Booker Adam Hurst Mike Levery
				28	<i>Woodhouse Ward</i> Mick Rooney Jackie Satur Paul Wood

John Mothersole

Chief Executive

Contact:

Paul Robinson, Democratic Services

Tel: 0114 2734029

paul.robinson@sheffield.gov.uk

PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE MEETING

The Council is composed of 84 Councillors with one-third elected three years in four. Councillors are democratically accountable to the residents of their Ward. The overriding duty of Councillors is to the whole community, but they have a special duty to their constituents, including those who did not vote for them

All Councillors meet together as the Council. Here Councillors decide the Council's overall policies and set the budget each year. The Council appoints the Leader and at its Annual Meeting will appoint Councillors to serve on its Committees. It also appoints representatives to serve on joint bodies and external organisations.

A copy of the agenda and reports is available on the Council's website at www.sheffield.gov.uk. You can also see the reports to be discussed at the meeting if you call at the First Point Reception, Town Hall, Pinstone Street entrance. The Reception is open between 9.00 am and 5.00 pm, Monday to Thursday and between 9.00 am and 4.45 pm. on Friday. You may not be allowed to see some reports because they contain confidential information. These items are usually marked * on the agenda.

Members of the public have the right to ask questions or submit petitions to Council meetings and recording is allowed under the direction of the Chair. Please see the website or contact Democratic Services for further information regarding public questions and petitions and details of the Council's protocol on audio/visual recording and photography at council meetings.

Council meetings are normally open to the public but sometimes the Council may have to discuss an item in private. If this happens, you will be asked to leave. Any private items are normally left until last. If you would like to attend the meeting please report to the First Point Reception desk where you will be directed to the meeting room.

FACILITIES

There are public toilets available, with wheelchair access, on the ground floor of the Town Hall. Induction loop facilities are available in meeting rooms.

Access for people with mobility difficulties can be obtained through the ramp on the side to the main Town Hall entrance.

**COUNCIL AGENDA
3 APRIL 2019**

Order of Business

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members to declare any interests they have in the business to be considered at the meeting.

3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

To receive any questions or petitions from the public, or communications submitted by the Lord Mayor or the Chief Executive and to pass such resolutions thereon as the Council Procedure Rules permit and as may be deemed expedient.

4. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

4.1 Questions relating to urgent business – Council Procedure Rule 16.6(ii).

4.2 Supplementary questions on written questions submitted at this meeting – Council Procedure Rule 16.4.

4.3 Questions on the discharge of the functions of the South Yorkshire Joint Authorities for Fire and Rescue and Pensions – Section 41 of the Local Government Act 1985 – Council Procedure Rule 16.6(i).

(NB. Minutes of recent meetings of the two South Yorkshire Joint Authorities have been made available to all Members of the Council via the following link -

<http://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/ecCatDisplay.aspx?sch=doc&cat=13165&path=0>)

5. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "EFFECTS OF AUSTERITY ON CHILDREN AND GOVERNMENT FAILURE TO INVEST" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR JACKIE DRAYTON AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR DAVID BARKER

That this Council:-

- (a) believes that it is a national scandal that child poverty is rising and school budgets are being cut, and that the country's children deserve much better than this Government are providing;

- (b) notes that child poverty is rising and food bank usage is increasing for those in and out of work, caused in part by the following:-
- (i) damaging changes to benefits such as universal credit and child benefits;
 - (ii) a lack of investment in youth services;
 - (iii) cuts to funding for Sure Start;
 - (iv) a shortfall worth millions in Special Educational Needs and Disabilities funding;
 - (v) supposed fair funding for schools, which this Council believes is anything but fair, as Sheffield schools are losing out on millions;
 - (vi) the scrapping of the Educational Maintenance Allowance; and
 - (vii) children and young people's mental health is suffering at the same time as mental health services are becoming increasingly stretched;
- (c) congratulates children and young people for speaking up and protesting about austerity and on the impact of climate change and the need to radically improve our environment, and tribute is paid to the local school children of Watercliffe Meadow Primary School, and children joining from other schools, for their recent demonstrations and for the many letters they have sent to the Government demanding more for their school;
- (d) believes that it does not need to be like this for the city's young, as continued austerity is a political choice and a Labour government would instead listen to the concerns of young people by reversing the negative impacts of austerity and take head on the challenges of climate change and environmental degradation; and
- (e) notes that a Labour government would give votes to 16 year olds, invest in a proper National Education Service, invest millions more for Sheffield schools and colleges, scrap tuition fees and give our young people the skills and labour market they deserve.

6. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "ENSURING TENANT SAFETY IN SHEFFIELD, AND DEMANDS FOR GOVERNMENT TO DO MUCH MORE " - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR JIM STEINKE AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR SOPHIE WILSON

That this Council:-

- (a) notes that following sustained pressure from Sheffield City Council, the Government have finally agreed to cover the cost of recladding of Hanover Tower – the only council-owned tower block which failed the post-Grenfell safety test;
- (b) notes that following the Grenfell disaster, Councillors acted quickly and decisively to reassure tenants by moving forward plans to fit sprinklers in all 24 council-owned tower blocks;
- (c) believes that this was the right thing to do and that the Authority needed to do this for tenant safety, regardless of the cost or the fact that national regulations did not at the time require this;
- (d) notes that the Labour Party has always maintained that the cost of post-Grenfell safety measures should not fall on cash-strapped local authorities and that the Government needs to fund this;
- (e) notes that, together with ten local authorities, of different political persuasions, this Authority is demanding that the Government funds the sprinkler systems for all tower blocks, all of which are now recommended under national fire regulations, and notes that Sheffield Councillors took this case direct to 10 Downing Street on 26 March;
- (f) believes that by working in collaboration with other councils and a wider national campaign, it gives added weight to our demands to fund sprinkler safety measures for all council-owned tower blocks;
- (g) further notes this Council's commitment to social housing and that this year's Housing Revenue Account means that tenants will also see around £400 million of investment over the next five years to make sure homes and estates are well maintained;
- (h) notes, in addition, that Sheffield Councillors are calling for government action to make private tower blocks in Sheffield safe for residents and to ensure that those living in the buildings are not left having to pay for this;
- (i) notes that Sheffield Councillors are supporting the work of Labour MPs in their demands to the Government to hold developers and freeholders to account for the situation, and believes that if the law is currently not up to the task, then the Government needs to change it; and

- (j) believes that private rented tenants should have greater protection – and calls on the Government to end Section 21 eviction powers which means private tenants can be evicted through no fault of their own; so that private rented tenants in Sheffield know that as long as they meet their legal obligations, the home is theirs, instead of the current situation whereby landlords can evict a blameless tenant which means the rental market will keep failing to provide the certainty we associate with having a place to call home.

7. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "SUPPORTING AND DELIVERING A THRIVING LOCAL ECONOMY" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR PAUL SCRIVEN AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ADAM HANRAHAN

That this Council:-

- (a) notes:-
 - (i) the importance of local businesses across the city to the prosperity and vibrancy of Sheffield;
 - (ii) that local economies and opportunities are improved when local businesses can thrive and be encouraged by public bodies;
 - (iii) the employment and wealth that local business contributes to Sheffield; and
 - (iv) that according to the Centre for Cities, the Sheffield economic area needs to improve on key areas such as business closures (ranked 44th of 62 areas), business start-ups (50th of 62), GVA per employee (53rd of 62) and ratio of private to public sector employment (45th of 62);
- (b) also notes that Sheffield is home to many innovative and successful businesses that create employment and wealth, but, like all cities that want to improve business friendly policies, local growth and employment opportunities, knows more can always be done;
- (c) wishes to do all it can to foster a culture of making Sheffield a great place to do business, encourage local small and medium sized businesses to set up and thrive here and to complement larger businesses by being part of their supply chains;
- (d) believes that more should be explored to support and encourage local business and help the local economy to grow; and
- (e) directs the Chief Executive to bring a report back to this Council

within three months to give practical examples of what more can be done to support the local economy, including such ideas as:-

- (i) a local currency called the Sheffield Pound to encourage people to spend their money with local, independent businesses;
- (ii) more pop up shops in local shopping areas and the city centre;
- (iii) a full weekend festival of busking and street art to be held across the City;
- (iv) improving the amount of goods and services the public sector buys from local small business;
- (v) encouraging large companies engaged on public sector contracts to use at least 50% of local businesses in their supply chain;
- (vi) supporting and developing local cooperative and community interest companies in the City;
- (vii) developing and supporting "pop up market days" in the city centre and local shopping areas that promote and celebrate local food and drink, arts, gifts and crafts;
- (viii) offering business rates relief on office space for tech start-ups that offer jobs to first-time employees such as school and college leavers and graduates from local universities;
- (ix) offering business rates relief to landlords who volunteer their vacant properties for pop up shops; and
- (x) training and support for 'getting the most from online trading' for local small and medium sized businesses, including retail business.

**8. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "BREXIT: LET IT BE DONE" -
GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR JOHN BOOKER AND TO BE SECONDED BY
COUNCILLOR JACK CLARKSON**

That this Council:-

- (a) (i) believes joining the European Union was the biggest political blunder in Great Britain's history, and that, had we not joined, Britain would be more democratic, significantly richer and happier and (ii) further believes that never in living memory have the citizens in the United Kingdom been so at odds with their elected

representatives;

- (b) notes that (i) the Conservative Party contested the 2015 General Election on the explicit promise of a binding referendum on membership of the EU, (ii) on 7th September 2015, a bill setting out its terms and format passed by 316 votes to 53 votes, a huge 85.6% mandate, (iii) in the “people’s vote” that followed on 23rd June 2016, despite “Project Fear” and incessant media and government propaganda, a decisive 7.9% (1.3m) more people voted to leave than remain, (iv) on 8th February 2017, Parliament passed the Article 50 Bill, explicitly setting the 29th of March 2019 as the exit date, by 494 votes to 122 votes, a massive 80.2% vote to leave the EU, and on that date, and (v) the 29th of March 2019 will be 1,009 days after the Referendum, and believes that another delay after this date accomplishes nothing but damage to the UK;
- (c) contends that once the momentous decision was taken to proceed with a Referendum on continued membership of the EU, and after the result to leave was officially declared, the duty of all elected representatives should have been to work in a professional and diligent way, free of discrimination, to carry out the democratic will of the people, working for a positive future and leaving self-interest and personal ambition behind;
- (d) believes that the behaviour of the majority of MPs in the House of Commons over a considerable number of months has been disgraceful, and that, despite both the Conservative Party and the Labour Party stating in their General Election Manifestos that they would take the United Kingdom out of the EU, they now appear to be captivated by the EU; and
- (e) (i) notes that, should the United Kingdom Independence Party’s position on the EU be adopted, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland can look forward to the day when it becomes a sovereign nation once again; where all citizens can unite as one, working for a better society, free from discrimination on the grounds of their race, religion, ethnic origin, education, beliefs, sexual orientation, class, social status, sectarianism or any other basis prescribed by law and (ii) believes this would be in the interests of promoting liberty, democracy and respect for human rights and the essential, traditional freedoms of the people of the United Kingdom and those under the protection of the United Kingdom.

9. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETINGS

To receive the record of the proceedings of the ordinary meeting of the Council held on 6th February 2019 and the special meeting of the Council held on 6th March 2019, and to approve the accuracy thereof.

10. REPRESENTATION, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES

To consider any changes to the memberships and arrangements for meetings of Committees etc., delegated authority, and the appointment of representatives to serve on other bodies.

Chief Executive

Dated this 26 day of March 2019

The next meeting of the Council will be its Annual General Meeting to be held on 15 May 2019 at the Town Hall. The next ordinary meeting of the Council will be held on 12 June 2019 at the Town Hall.

ADVICE TO MEMBERS ON DECLARING INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

If you are present at a meeting of the Council, of its executive or any committee of the executive, or of any committee, sub-committee, joint committee, or joint sub-committee of the authority, and you have a **Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)** relating to any business that will be considered at the meeting, you must not:

- participate in any discussion of the business at the meeting, or if you become aware of your Disclosable Pecuniary Interest during the meeting, participate further in any discussion of the business, or
- participate in any vote or further vote taken on the matter at the meeting.

These prohibitions apply to any form of participation, including speaking as a member of the public.

You **must**:

- leave the room (in accordance with the Members' Code of Conduct)
- make a verbal declaration of the existence and nature of any DPI at any meeting at which you are present at which an item of business which affects or relates to the subject matter of that interest is under consideration, at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent.
- declare it to the meeting and notify the Council's Monitoring Officer within 28 days, if the DPI is not already registered.

If you have any of the following pecuniary interests, they are your **disclosable pecuniary interests** under the new national rules. You have a pecuniary interest if you, or your spouse or civil partner, have a pecuniary interest.

- Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain, which you, or your spouse or civil partner undertakes.
- Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from your council or authority) made or provided within the relevant period* in respect of any expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses. This includes any payment or financial benefit from a trade union within the meaning of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992.

*The relevant period is the 12 months ending on the day when you tell the Monitoring Officer about your disclosable pecuniary interests.

- Any contract which is made between you, or your spouse or your civil partner (or a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest) and your council or authority –
 - under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and
 - which has not been fully discharged.

- Any beneficial interest in land which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, have and which is within the area of your council or authority.
- Any licence (alone or jointly with others) which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, holds to occupy land in the area of your council or authority for a month or longer.
- Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) –
 - the landlord is your council or authority; and
 - the tenant is a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest.
- Any beneficial interest which you, or your spouse or your civil partner has in securities of a body where -
 - (a) that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of your council or authority; and
 - (b) either -
 - the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or
 - if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

If you attend a meeting at which any item of business is to be considered and you are aware that you have a **personal interest** in the matter which does not amount to a DPI, you must make verbal declaration of the existence and nature of that interest at or before the consideration of the item of business or as soon as the interest becomes apparent. You should leave the room if your continued presence is incompatible with the 7 Principles of Public Life (selflessness; integrity; objectivity; accountability; openness; honesty; and leadership).

You have a personal interest where –

- a decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial standing (including interests in land and easements over land) of you or a member of your family or a person or an organisation with whom you have a close association to a greater extent than it would affect the majority of the Council Tax payers, ratepayers or inhabitants of the ward or electoral area for which you have been elected or otherwise of the Authority's administrative area, or
- it relates to or is likely to affect any of the interests that are defined as DPIs but are in respect of a member of your family (other than a partner) or a person with whom you have a close association.

Guidance on declarations of interest, incorporating regulations published by the Government in relation to Disclosable Pecuniary Interests, has been circulated to you previously.

You should identify any potential interest you may have relating to business to be considered at the meeting. This will help you and anyone that you ask for advice to fully consider all the circumstances before deciding what action you should take.

In certain circumstances the Council may grant a **dispensation** to permit a Member to take part in the business of the Authority even if the member has a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest relating to that business.

To obtain a dispensation, you must write to the Monitoring Officer at least 48 hours before the meeting in question, explaining why a dispensation is sought and desirable, and specifying the period of time for which it is sought. The Monitoring Officer may consult with the Independent Person or the Council's Audit and Standards Committee in relation to a request for dispensation.

Further advice can be obtained from Gillian Duckworth, Director of Legal and Governance on 0114 2734018 or email gillian.duckworth@sheffield.gov.uk.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 9

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the City of Sheffield held in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH, on Wednesday 6 February 2019, at 2.00 pm, pursuant to notice duly given and Summonses duly served.

PRESENT

THE LORD MAYOR (Councillor Magid Magid)
THE DEPUTY LORD MAYOR (Councillor Tony Downing)

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| 1 <i>Beauchief & Greenhill Ward</i>
Simon Clement-Jones
Bob Pullin
Richard Shaw | 10 <i>East Ecclesfield Ward</i>
Andy Bainbridge | 19 <i>Nether Edge & Sharrow Ward</i>
Mohammad Maroof
Jim Steinke
Alison Teal |
| 2 <i>Beighton Ward</i>
Chris Rosling-Josephs
Sophie Wilson | 11 <i>Ecclesall Ward</i>
Roger Davison
Shaffaq Mohammed
Paul Scriven | 20 <i>Park & Arbourthorne</i>
Julie Dore
Ben Miskell
Jack Scott |
| 3 <i>Birley Ward</i>
Denise Fox
Bryan Lodge
Karen McGowan | 12 <i>Firth Park Ward</i>
Abdul Khayum
Alan Law | 21 <i>Richmond Ward</i>
Mike Drabble
Dianne Hurst
Peter Rippon |
| 4 <i>Broomhill & Sharrow Vale Ward</i>
Michelle Cook
Magid Magid
Kaltum Rivers | 13 <i>Fulwood Ward</i>
Sue Alston
Andrew Sangar
Cliff Woodcraft | 22 <i>Shiregreen & Brightside Ward</i>
Dawn Dale
Peter Price
Garry Weatherall |
| 5 <i>Burngreave Ward</i>
Jackie Drayton
Talib Hussain
Mark Jones | 14 <i>Gleadless Valley Ward</i>
Lewis Dagnall
Cate McDonald
Chris Peace | 23 <i>Southey Ward</i>
Mike Chaplin
Tony Damms
Jayne Dunn |
| 6 <i>City Ward</i>
Douglas Johnson
Robert Murphy
Martin Phipps | 15 <i>Graves Park Ward</i>
Ian Auckland
Sue Auckland
Steve Ayris | 24 <i>Stannington Ward</i>
David Baker
Penny Baker
Vickie Priestley |
| 7 <i>Crookes & Crosspool Ward</i>
Mohammed Mahroof
Anne Murphy | 16 <i>Hillsborough Ward</i>
Bob Johnson
George Lindars-Hammond
Josie Paszek | 25 <i>Stocksbridge & Upper Don Ward</i>
Francyne Johnson |
| 8 <i>Darnall Ward</i>
Mazher Iqbal
Mary Lea
Zahira Naz | 17 <i>Manor Castle Ward</i>
Lisa Banes
Terry Fox
Pat Midgley | 26 <i>Walkley Ward</i>
Olivia Blake
Ben Curran
Neale Gibson |
| 9 <i>Dore & Totley Ward</i>
Joe Otten
Martin Smith | 18 <i>Mosborough Ward</i>
David Barker
Tony Downing
Gail Smith | 27 <i>West Ecclesfield Ward</i>
John Booker
Adam Hurst
Mike Levery |
| | | 28 <i>Woodhouse Ward</i>
Mick Rooney
Jackie Satur
Paul Wood |

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Jack Clarkson, Keith Davis, Adam Hanrahan, Abtisam Mohamed, Colin Ross and Ian Saunders.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

- 2.1 There were no declarations of interest made by Members of the Council.

3. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

3.1 Petitions (1)

3.1.1 Petition requesting the Council to urgently review the provision of MOT bays at the Taxi Test Centre

The Council received a petition containing 368 signatures requesting the Council to urgently review the provision of MOT taxi bays at the Taxi Test Centre.

There was no speaker to the petition.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jack Scott, Cabinet Member for Transport and Development.

3.1.2 Petition stating that Sheffield should be Carbon Neutral by 2030

The Council received a petition containing 368 signatures, stating that Sheffield should be Carbon Neutral by 2030.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Graham Wroe and another petitioner. They referred to the state of the climate report 2018 and to the warmest years on record having been in the past 22 years with warming being more extreme at the earth's poles. It was said that there were 12 years to act to reduce carbon emissions. Increasing temperatures had led to the increased occurrence of fire and drought leading to more fires, a reduction in forest and increased carbon emissions. They also described the effects of melting ice, warming water and the release of methane from melting permafrost. Climate change was also increasing at an expedient rate and once it had begun, there would be no stopping it. If this occurred, the effects would include food shortages, conflict over resources, extreme weather, and migration from uninhabitable areas. This was an emergency and rapid action was needed to decrease emissions. It would require systematic action from government and local government and to be treated as an emergency.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jack Scott, Cabinet Member for Transport and Development. Councillor Scott commented on the strength of feeling concerning man made climate change and it was known that climate

breakdown may be as little as 12 years away and which would have a profound effect on every aspect of people's lives, including flooding, fires, disruption to food supply and the natural world. He said that he believed climate change would define this century and it was the greatest social justice challenge.

He said that it was important that Sheffield was at the forefront of action relating to climate change and should now declare a climate emergency. However, whilst 2030 might be the target which the Council would set, he did not believe it would be right to set a target date for the City to become carbon at this point. A target was needed that was supported by an action plan, was credible and deliverable and one by which the organisations in the City would work together to get the right target. The condition of climate change presented a threat to humanity and was personal to people and families in different ways and there were real concerns about circumstances leading to war, flood famine and areas of the planet becoming uninhabitable.

Councillor Scott pointed to a link between climate change and inequality and said that action was also required in relation employment rights, poor housing, migration, justice, racism and poverty etc. He also commented that inequality and austerity was not compatible with taking urgent action with regards to climate change. He said that he was determined that Sheffield would lead and bring about a greener and fairer future.

3.2 Public Questions

3.2.1 Public Questions Concerning Climate Change

Rachael Hand stated that Sheffield Climate Alliance welcomed the declaration of a climate emergency and asked whether the Council could explain the how other institutions and citizens could contribute to change.

Dr David Jones asked what immediate actions the Council would take to reduce emissions and what would be the effect; and what was the best estimate for Sheffield's total annual emissions of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases.

Heather Hunt asked, if a motion was passed by the Council concerning a climate emergency, would the Council ensure clear communications with residents, including on its website and to keep people updated in postal communications.

Jenny Carpenter asked how the Council would ensure that decisions which it took contributed to countering climate change and would it seek funding for retrofitting of housing stock so that heating bills and carbon emissions could be reduced.

Robert Howarth stated that the refurbishment of housing was more carbon neutral than demolition and rebuild. He asked whether the Council would plan

for a large programme of refurbishment and new build under Council tenure and what were the practical steps that would be taken in this regard in 2019.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, responded to the questions. He stated that with regards to other partners in the City, the Green City Partnership Board had been established and this would enable discussions concerning climate change, and organisations on that Board could be said to have influence over the greatest proportion of emissions and they also had control of issues including their own employees and supply chains. He said that he recognised that such discussion was now of a more urgent nature and that both he and Councillor Lewis Dagnall were co-chairs of that Board so could ensure that conversations could be had with more speed.

He said that as regards immediate and urgent actions, a short time would be used to make sure that proposed actions were the right ones and were as challenging as possible. He said that he would write to Dr Jones with the current action plan, although he acknowledged that the actions set out for 2019 would need to be examined and he would welcome views of Dr Jones or other interested parties as well as the Partnership Board to make sure it was sufficiently robust and that it included other actions, as required.

Councillor Scott stated that the Council website would be utilised to publicise activity and a press release had already been produced and further work would also be done. He stated that people would have to make different types of changes and a broader and positive vision was needed in relation to climate change, including creating a fairer and greener city which was better for everyone and something which would inspire hope.

With regards housing, he understood that Council properties had been insulated as far as possible, and improved through investment made over the past 15 years in insulation and energy efficiency. However, private sector housing which was not in the control of the Council or a registered provider generally presented a challenge with regards insulation. Improvements were required in addition to more tools to help regulate the private rented sector, including a need for change brought about by national government.

Councillor Scott concluded that there was a definite sense of importance of climate change and in the declaration of a climate emergency and that the Council would wish to work with people, including those represented at this meeting, to take action and help avoid the potentially catastrophic impacts of climate change.

3.2.2 Public Question Concerning Electricity Supplier

Thomas Wills asked when the Council would ensure that its electricity was from a zero carbon supplier.

Councillor Olivia Blake, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader of the Council, stated that the Council was at present in the process of re-

procuring its electricity supplier. It did have a good energy mix at the present time, including the energy recovery facility for heat and power for some buildings in Sheffield. The impact on the environment would also be something to take account of in the Council's ethical procurement policy.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development stated that decentralised energy might also be part of the energy mix, together with renewable energy. He understood that within the Council's present energy supply, it included, where possible, renewable energy. However, the use of renewable sources was not guaranteed in the current arrangements. This would need to be reviewed, firstly so as to ascertain whether the current arrangements had worked and secondly, to make an assessment with regard to choices and consequences of looking at guaranteed renewable energy supply and the potential risk of disruption to supply and any back-up option. He said that renewable energy sources might also include nuclear energy. He said that whilst the use of renewable energy was an ambition for the Council, it was an intricate issue and difficult to give a guarantee at this time in relation to renewable energy.

3.2.3 Public Question Concerning Intolerant Rule of Law

Roger Doonan asked whether the Council would denounce repressive and intolerant values connected with imperialist authority and rule, which he said was present in the City. He called upon the Council to denounce imperialism, and delusions associated with it, in favour of greater tolerances.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, stated that she would agree with some of the comments made by Mr Doonan concerning oppressive regimes and she commented with regard to the approach of the leader of her own party with regard to oppressive regimes.

3.2.4 Public Question Concerning Ecclesfield Library

Victoria Bowden asked whether the Council planned to repair the roof of Ecclesfield Library, which was made of wooden shingle tiles, some of which had become displaced by extreme weather, before it deteriorated further. She asked whether there was a plan to replace the roofing to enable the trustees to plan with regard to finances and once the lease for the building was signed.

Councillor Mary Lea, the Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure, commented on the success of Associate Libraries. Most had signed leases although Ecclesfield had not yet done so. She said that she would find out what it was intended would be done with the roof of the Library prior to the signing of a lease and would inform Victoria Bowden accordingly.

3.2.5 Public Question Concerning the Adult Social Care Budget

A question was asked on behalf of Tanju Cakar concerning cuts to local government budgets, including for adult social care. It was stated that although the Council had sought to protect the adult social care budget, this would not

be sustainable and a question was asked as to what the Council would do to stop the cuts to social care and whether the Council would commit to using reserves to implement a no-cuts budget.

Councillor Chris Peace, the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care, stated that the cuts to adult social care were not sustainable. The Council prioritised adult social care and it would have to use some reserves largely due to the demand in adult social care. She commented that she was disappointed that there had not been a national response to this problem from Government, although the Council had made representations to the Government in this regard. The Government had produced a long term plan for the NHS but had not done so in respect of adult social care and it had also not produced a Green Paper for adult social care.

Councillor Peace said that the Council was working to build relationships with health partners. In cases where the local authority was not able to provide care, it was most likely to affect primary care and acute health services. Therefore, a way had to be found to manage the issues and this would include the use of a joint approach and pooled budgets. She commented that a national approach to these matters was required and also referred to the possibility of rescinding the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

3.2.6 Public Question Concerning War Graves Commission

Roy Millington referred to a question which had been asked at the 9 January meeting of Council concerning whether the Council was paid by the War Graves Commission for graves to be kept in order. He said that he had not yet received a written response to the question.

Councillor Mary Lea, the Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure, stated that a letter had been produced in response to Mr Millington's questions and she was sorry he had not received it. She would make sure the letter was sent to him. She confirmed that the War Graves Commission did not provide funding to the Council. However, the Council's Parks and Countryside Service funded the War Graves Commission to care for war graves in respect of the York and Lancaster Regiment in France and the Royal British Legion cared for war graves in cemeteries in Sheffield.

3.2.7 Public Question Concerning Maternity Services

Ruth Milsom made reference to the South Yorkshire and Bassetlaw Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities considering how to respond to proposals in the Hospital Services Review. She asked what measures the Health Scrutiny Committee would be taking to make sure that Maternity Services would be kept at a safe level of provision.

Councillor Chris Peace, the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care, stated that a recent and very well attended meeting of Sheffield Save Our NHS demonstrated how much people were concerned about their health services. She said that the question concerned the Integrated Care System in South

Yorkshire and Bassetlaw and Hospital Care Review. She made reference to the recent urgent care review and to the commendable joint approach across organisations and political parties with regards the retention of the walk in centre and minor injuries unit, the plans for which were put on hold.

Councillor Peace said that the use of the scrutiny and political process was important and it was a matter for the Scrutiny Committee with regards to the action they might take. She said that she believed that there would be consideration of the issues raised and commented that there were also a number of other matters in the Hospital Services Review which were of concern. With regards maternity services, there were other issues in addition to health and medical care, such as support in the community for women who were pregnant and taking a holistic approach. Councillor Peace congratulated the campaign group on its work and said that there would continue to be dialogue with the campaign group regarding these matters.

3.2.8 Public Question Concerning Catering Services

Josephine Campling asked whether the Council would reduce the amount of meat it purchased and provide vegan options with the catering it provided and commissioned.

Councillor Olivia Blake, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader of the Council, said that the Council's catering provider had recently agreed to use compostable packaging. Vegan options were already provided for catering for events. She said that she would speak with the catering service further in relation to the provision of vegan meals, including in catering outlets for employees.

3.2.9 Public Question Concerning Housing Insulation

David Mason asked what the average U-value was for Council houses in Sheffield and what action was being taken to improve it and encourage landlords and homeowners to better insulate properties.

Councillor Jim Steinke, the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community Safety, stated that he would provide a written response to the questions and he commented that the Council was actively encouraging landlords and home owners to better insulate their properties.

3.2.10 Public Questions Concerning Transport

Rosey Dunhey asked why the Council was prioritising investment in road schemes; why the targets of the Transport Strategy included improved accessibility by road to the city centre and Upper Don Valley and Lower Don Valley; new road links between Sheffield and Rotherham and improved road links between Sheffield and Manchester.

Heather Rostron asked what steps would be taken in 2019 to make people feel safe to cycle in Sheffield and a question in relation to a commitment by

IKEA to improve cycling provision.

Henry Budden asked when the Council would introduce clean air space in the City where polluting vehicles were restricted.

Frank Sheridan asked whether, beyond the clean air zone which encouraged changes from older to newer vehicles; the Council would introduce comprehensive road pricing using existing available powers in legislation.

Jo Millett asked what steps would be taken in 2019 to make it more comfortable and convenient for people to walk in Sheffield and what action the Council would take concerning pavement parking to improve conditions for walking.

Naomi asked when the Council would bring forward its intended workplace parking levy to discourage car use and liaise with businesses which provided car parking.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, responded to the questions. He said that buses also used the roads and it was critical to improve public transport, regardless of ownership or regulation arrangements relating to buses, and to make sure it was not adversely affected by vehicle congestion. More efficient junctions and the giving of priority to buses would help in this regard. He said that he would be able to share the modelling which had been done in this regard.

He said that, whilst he realised the Inner Ring Road had been controversial, the changes made would be better for pedestrians by reducing the number of road crossings pedestrians needed to make and the inclusion of segregated cycleways and a toucan crossing. There would also be a reduction in bus journey times and improved reliability and punctuality. The changes to the Inner Ring Road were being made not to create latent demand but to create a better integrated and efficient junction at a key location. The issue had been considered by a Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee and it was apparent that the Council needed to communicate more clearly the intentions with regard to the scheme.

Councillor Scott said that with regard to IKEA and cycling, there was some learning for the planning service regarding planning conditions and the Council had reviewed and tightened the process with regards conditions set as part of the planning process. This was an ongoing issue and there was continuing communication with Cycle Sheffield and IKEA with regards to the requirement relating to the planning condition relating to cycling and as part of delivering what was promised as part of the planning application for IKEA.

He said that with regards to pollution in the City Centre, the clean air zone which was proposed at the end of 2020 would have an effect on pollution elsewhere in the City as well within the inner ring road. However, the clean air zone was not the sum of the ambition for the City. It would bring about air which was legally compliant but not necessarily safe air. Therefore, more

would need to be done to prioritise active travel and to make sure walking, cycling and public transport were more attractive to people.

Councillor Scott said that with regards road charging, powers were available to the Council to instigate measures under the transport legislation. However, approval by the Secretary of State was also required. The most recent Secretaries of State had explicitly said they did not support the charging of car users. Therefore, the Council was not committing resources to pursuing that issue at this time.

With regard to the workforce parking levy, funding had been identified to begin a study. However, there were some barriers to overcome. He had visited Nottingham to see the impact and some of the benefits of a work place parking levy. The Council had committed to undertaking a study, which would take approximately 6 to 9 months to complete. He asked people to contact him if there were points which he had not fully addressed.

3.2.11 Public Questions Concerning Leaving the European Union

Neill Schofield asked a question concerning the effect on the construction industry of the UK leaving the European Union (EU) with no deal and also with regard to the effect on community safety and social cohesion and public safety and, in particular what the Council was doing to alert people and to mitigate issues that arose.

Councillor Jim Steinke, the Cabinet Member for Neighbourhoods and Community, stated that in relation to community safety, there had been discussions with the police and other organisations regarding what proportionate action might be taken in any event. There had been issues concerning hate crime at the time of the referendum concerning the membership of the EU. It was also important to make sure that matters were not exacerbated and any response would be considered and proportionate. It was also recognised that there was a chance that some groups may attempt to take advantage of tensions. He assured people that there were discussions taking place relating to these matters.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, stated that the building industry and house building would be adversely affected by the UK leaving the EU. A large proportion of building materials were imported from the EU and the supply of materials and labour might also be affected by shortages, with the possibility of the costs of construction increasing and an effect on the viability of home building. It was important that some protection was put in place with regard to the construction industry. The situation also affected the ability to undertake spatial planning with any confidence as to the future capacity of the market.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, stated that public concerns were understood and it was important that people knew what the Council was doing in relation to the UK leaving the EU. An initial assessment had been done and in the immediate term, it was thought that there would be little or no

impact or short term disruption to service delivery. An internal officer working group was meeting each week and assessments would be updated as information became available. The wider issues were more difficult to assess because of uncertainty surrounding the UK leaving the EU.

Councillor Dore said that as regards the issues of community cohesion and the mechanics relating to Brexit, it had been announced that councils would receive some funding to help prepare and for Sheffield it amounted to £105K this year and the Council would release this funding in accordance with the ongoing assessments. The Local Resilience Forum, a multi-agency group, was also in place and the City Council actively participated in that group together with other statutory partners. The Sheffield City Region had considered the economic impact of withdrawal from the EU, including in relation to business and the construction industry and the potential effect with regards the workforce, supply chains and other matters. There was work being done in specific areas of the Council, and there would be co-ordinated activity across the Council. She recognised the public concern, including the possibility of a no-deal Brexit.

3.2.12 Public Question Concerning NHS

Mark James made reference to campaigns including with regard to supporting the fight to save the NHS and concerning the Orgreave truth and justice campaign and he asked a question concerning action and urgency and asking the Council to set a needs based budget, to fight cuts and not to get into situations where it was implementing bourgeois laws and arresting protesters.

Councillor Chris Peace, the Cabinet Member for Health and Social Care, stated that the Council supported the fight to save the NHS and this was paramount, including in relation to protest and in looking at policy. She believed the Council would have to consider some difficult choices. However, choices were also available in the application of values to the way the Council was run. She said that she would disagree with Prime Minister's assertion that austerity was over. The Council had adopted an early intervention approach and it did put fighting inequalities at the heart of policy.

Councillor Olivia Blake, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader of the Council, stated that there were concerns in relation to the setting of an illegal budget and she referred to the example of Northamptonshire County Council, which had seen the intervention of commissioners and an approach to closing services and use of capital in an attempt to balance the budget. Despite this, the situation in that local authority was not resolved. She said there was a need to call on the Government to offer fair funding to local authorities and Councils in the north of the country had taken a disproportionate level of cuts to local authorities. She commented that the situation as regards local government was one of financial crisis and the Government was not able to explain what money was required to deliver statutory services.

3.2.13 Public Question Concerning Quality Bus Contracts

Joe Diviney asked whether the Council would commit to using its powers to implement quality bus contracts to reduce fares to make it affordable and take public transport into public ownership.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, stated that with regards quality contracts, there existed powers for re-regulation in the Sheffield City Region and through working with the City Region Mayor and he said that, at this point in time, this was better for Sheffield than the option of quality bus contracts. He said that he believed that re-regulation was the right thing to do other than a nationalisation of services and the bus network being run for people and not profit.

3.2.14 Public Question Concerning Transgender People

Martha Foulds stated that a pub in the City had hosted a group firmly opposed to the rights of Transgender people and whose speakers described them in derogatory terms and supported similarly derogatory social media comments. She asked what action the Council would take to ensure that Trans people in Sheffield felt safe when visiting licensed venues in the City.

Councillor Jack Scott, the Cabinet Member for Transport and Development, said that he was proud that the question had been asked by Martha at this meeting and commented on her bravery and given the discrimination and bullying that people experienced. He said that there was a broader issue relating to dignity and respect for fellow citizens and the standards in place to protect people. The Council was clear that Trans rights were human rights and such rights will have been opposed, fought for and won. He referred to core ideals of fairness, inclusion and equality for everyone. He said the Council would not allow any licensed establishment to inflict indignity on any population or community. He said that he had asked officers in the Licensing Service to review how the Council supported Trans people and what training could be provided for licensed establishments.

He said that he knew there were a number of people that might feel isolated and scared and said that the Council saw them, valued them, stood with them and would do everything in its power to support and protect them. He commented that the progress to equal rights for all may be incremental and sometimes difficult but believed that it would happen and could be achieved together.

3.2.15 Public Questions Concerning Old Town Hall

Nigel Slack referred to the announcement that the Old Town Hall had been sold. He asked if the Council could tell the Sheffield public to whom it had been sold and give assurances that any redevelopment would respect the building and its place in Sheffield's history.

He also asked the following questions:

Whether the Castlegate conservation area would be in place before the sale completed as this would afford significant extra safeguards for the building.

Did the Council intend to enforce the 'urgent' repairs to the building to secure it as weatherproof, as the limited work that had been going on was seen by heritage groups as inadequate for the long term.

Would the Council take a prudent approach and continue with preliminaries for the Compulsory Purchase of the building, in case the sale or the new developer's plans failed.

Councillor Mazher Iqbal stated that the Council was not the buyer or the seller of the Old Town Hall. He said that he was optimistic that a solution would be found with regards to the building. The plans for the redevelopment of Castlegate were announced two years ago and there was a Regeneration Board and representation by Friends Groups and others. The kick-start for that area had brought a significant amount of investor activity and including funding from Government for the digital incubator and investment concerning improvement to the route between Castlegate and Victoria Quays.

He said that as regards the Conservation Area, the sale had taken place before the Conservation Area designation was in place. However, he also noted that through the planning process and the Old Town Hall's listed building status, he was confident that the building would meet the relevant requirements. Meetings had taken place with the Friends of the Old Town Hall and that group had been concerned to ensure repairs were carried out by the owner of the building. A survey was undertaken which identified £1 million of works required to the building. It had been a challenge to get the building owner to do the necessary work and a Court Order had been obtained to carry out the survey work. The landowner had been contacted as a result and urgent repairs to the building had been done in the last two weeks.

Councillor Iqbal said that a Compulsory Purchase Order (CPO) was a legal order which took some time to progress with significant cost and that option would be pursued only if there was no alternative option for the building. At present, there was a solution in the private sector and it would be difficult to pursue the option of a CPO. He said that he was optimistic about the future for the Old Town Hall building and also for that area of the City.

3.2.16 Public Question Concerning the UK leaving the EU

Nigel Slack asked what was the Council's response to the Governments threats to bring the Army onto the streets in the eventuality of a 'no deal' Brexit?

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council responded that the prospect of a no deal Brexit engendered a feeling of complete and utter despair and also showed, if a government was preparing for the Army to be on the streets, why a no deal Brexit could not happen.

3.2.17 Public Questions Concerning Streets Ahead Programme

Isobel O’Leary asked whether the Council would join her in congratulating Amey employees who had employed simple and cheap engineering methods to restore pavements and kerbs to areas around trees which had previously been due to be felled. She asked for an explanation as to why investigations and engineering solutions already paid for in the Streets Ahead contract had not been used before and why many trees had unnecessarily been felled.

Calvin Payne asked a question concerning wrongful arrest in 2016 and a Freedom of Information request which he said showed the Council’s involvement and knowledge of the use of Trade Union law. He made specific reference to an email concerning the legal implications of Section 241 of the Trade Union Act. He asked whether the legal department had taken on board the statement of the Leader of the Council concerning opposition to the use of Trade Union law.

Christine King referred to issues including wrongful arrest, security and surveillance, a high value contract and initially heavily redacted contract and a value for the number of trees to be felled which had differed. She stated that it was increasingly apparent that many trees did not need to be felled. She asked, given these issues, why was it described as ‘a distraction’ to seek to understand how and why all of this had happened.

Alan Story made reference to an article in the Financial Times on 13 December and he asked what risk assessment had been carried out regarding the expected sale of Amey PLC to Greybull Capital for the workers, people and trees of Sheffield.

Mark Smith referred to the arrests of people who had protested in relation to street trees with many having been proved wrongful arrest and to legal costs. He said that it was known that a lot of the felling of trees had not been as a last resort and asked for reconsideration of the decision not to hold an inquiry.

A question was asked on behalf of Chris McAndrew concerning private finance initiatives and reference made to the Sir Robert Hadfield Wing at the Northern General and to costs being above the value of services provided. He asked a question in relation to the contract with Amey and the costs and accounts for that contract.

Russell Johnson asked which provided the most benefit for people, mature healthy street trees providing environmental and health benefits or insisting on no minor kerb deviations that were used in other cities and were not required by legislation.

Adrian Hallam made reference to offers made by Yorkshire Water to part fund measures relating to drainage and asked the Council to reconsider returning to the offers made by Yorkshire Water to part fund measures to reduce the amount of water and the cost of processing storm water through the sewer and where this might have a bearing on resolving issues regarding trees and

kerbs.

Heather Mitchell referred to an incident in February 2017 involving people protesting in relation to the felling of a tree and to a statement by a police officer concerning the use of Section 241 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations Act in relation to people on private land and which it was said had been agreed by the South Yorkshire Police and the Council. She asked the following questions:

Who in Sheffield City Council agreed to the use of Section 241 Trade Union and Labour Relations Act against people on private land?

If this was not the Leader of the Council, was the Leader informed and asked for approval?

If the decision was approved by an officer without the Leader's approval, why did this happen?

What process was used by the Council to agree with South Yorkshire Police to the use of the above powers on private land?

Helen McIlroy asked whether the Leader and Chief Executive of the Council would accept an invitation from the People's Audit to walk on some streets in the City to discuss and open a dialogue about the monitoring and scrutiny of the standard of work done by Amey.

Councillor Lewis Dagnall, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene, responded to the questions. He said that there had been a process of mediation in 2018, prior to Christmas and the view of the Bishop of Sheffield, who had chaired the process, was that substantial progress had been made. He said he had been pleased to join campaigners and Amey workers to see the new work which Amey was doing and resulting from the change in approach. He said that by a process of discussion and dialogue, a way forward had been found which allowed the City to receive the investment in highways sought by the Council and to respond to concerns regarding highways trees.

Councillor Dagnall said that with regard to the questions concerning benefits of street trees and kerb lines, he had contacted Mr Johnson and had answered the questions. He said that section 2 of the joint position statement between the Council, Amey and the STAG (Sheffield Tree Action Groups) Steering Committee referred to the benefits of street trees to people in the City; and section 7.2.1 referred to the temporary relaxation of kerb specification and as part of a phased approach. This showed that the Council was allowing kerb deviations to accommodate the new approach regarding trees.

He said that with regard to the possibility of an inquiry, the Council was not persuaded that an inquiry was what the City needed at this time.

Councillor Dagnall stated that in respect of the arrest of protesters, decisions

regarding arrest were for the police to take and the police had an appropriate means to challenge those decisions as the individuals concerned had done. He said he welcomed the fact that a settlement with the police had been reached and that people had received compensation and which would hopefully resolve matters and also allow the City to move on.

He said that the Council understood that Amey remained committed to the Streets Ahead programme, as was the City Council and the Department for Transport and which would transform highways maintenance for the next generation. He said that whilst he appreciated the interest in the issues concerning the matters reported in the Financial Times, as far as the Council was concerned, Streets Ahead would continue.

Councillor Dagnall referred to the question concerning Yorkshire Water and he requested that he be sent the details of the offer by them to enable him to discuss the issue with Council officers and to review the situation. He said there was a need to achieve the investment in the highway to receive funding from the Department for Transport and as part of the highways maintenance programme and that other ideas may not always be compatible with that.

He said that there was a separation of powers of the Council and the Police. There was opportunity to take matters up with the Independent Office of Police Complaints and in addition, people could write to the Police and Crime Commissioner to raise issues. He reiterated that he had welcomed that people had reached an agreed settlement with the Police in relation to unlawful arrests.

Councillor Olivia Blake, the Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader, said that with regards to PFI and the Hadfield Building and cost of the Amey contract, it was troubling that a number of PFI projects in the NHS had caused significant cost to the public purse because they may have had to be remedied in some way. The Council had some arrangements through PFI apart from the contract with Amey, including one for an office building and PFI schools. The model of funding for many public sector investments had used PFI. The Conservative and Labour parties had said that PFI would not be used as a model in future. It was important to look at the best way of doing things in future.

There was a different sort of arrangement for the highways contract in Sheffield, contrasted with the Hadfield Building referenced in the question, whereby the cost at the end of the Amey contract was already known. Payments were made quarterly. The cost was £2.2 billion originally. However, this had reduced to £1.95 billion. Much of the funding was from the Government in the form of PFI credits. Councillor Blake said that she would provide further information to the questioner in writing.

Councillor Lewis Dagnall, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Streetscene, stated that the Economic and Environmental Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee examined the Streets Ahead Programme and delegates, including the People's Audit had been involved and had presented

ideas to the Committee. Questions were also answered about the programme, including with regard to holding Amey to account. He understood there was dialogue with the People's Audit with which the Council would be pleased to continue.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council stated that she would be pleased to walk down any of the roads in the City.

3.3 Petitions (2)

Petition Requesting Free Travel for Young Carers

The Council received an electronic petition containing approximately 2,038 signatures, requesting free travel for young carers in Sheffield.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Laura Selby and two young carers, both of whom described how they cared for members of their family, including their siblings and supported their parents. Their families had a range of medical conditions and other needs, including cancer, mental ill health, autism, severe asthma, arthritis and learning difficulties.

This situation was sometimes considerably stressful to the young carers because they felt the pressure on them to make sure everything was okay and this could also be very tiring. Their caring responsibilities were in addition to them studying for A levels.

There were approximately seven thousand young carers in Sheffield and the petition of 2,038 signatures was started by Louise Haigh MP and requested free travel for young carers in Sheffield. Some cities already provided free travel for young carers. There were many costs associated with illness and disabilities that families had, including extra travel going shopping and for medical appointments, which could be expensive and families might not be able to afford public transport.

The petitioners stated that young people should also be given the chance to take a break from caring and to enjoy a social life. Carers saved the local authority and government a considerable amount and were not eligible to receive carers' allowance. Enabling them opportunity to travel using free public transport would help to deal with the stress of being a young carer and to keep themselves well. A pilot for leisure and travel for young carers was run during the summer and a report was also available with the findings from the pilot and to support the petition.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Jack Scott, Cabinet Member for Transport and Development. Councillor Scott thanked the petitioners for presenting the petition and describing their own circumstances. He said it was important that the Council listened carefully to the experiences of young carers. He said that he understood the need and recognised the practical issues that had been outlined by the young carers, including extra travel

because of caring, shopping and medical appointments. It was also important that young people could go out, relax and socialise and caring responsibilities could make this harder. He said that the Council did want to support young carers and as had been stated, young carers saved the Council money. He said that it was understood why free travel for young carers would be fairer.

Councillor Scott said that work would be done with bus and travel operators and with the PTE to make sure there was provision which was fair and which worked for the young carers and for others. It was important to work together on this matter and therefore meetings would be arranged to make sure all the issues were properly understood and to include Councillor Jackie Drayton, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families.

Councillor Jackie Drayton, the Cabinet Member for Children, Young People and Families, stated that previously, a Pledge had been developed with young carers, which covered a range of matters important to young carers and she suggested that transport and travel might also be considered as part of the Pledge. She also pointed to the work of carers' organisations, including in helping to give a voice to young carers.

4. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "DECLARING A CLIMATE EMERGENCY" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR JACK SCOTT AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR MICHELLE COOK

4.1 RESOLVED: On the motion of Councillor Martin Phipps and seconded by Councillor Douglas Johnson, that, in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 9.1, the order of business as published on the Council Summons be altered by taking item 6 on the agenda (Notice of Motion regarding "Declaring A Climate Emergency") as the next item of business.

4.2 It was moved by Councillor Jack Scott, and seconded by Councillor Michelle Cook, that this Council:-

- (a) believes that climate change and sustainability are amongst the biggest issues of the 21st century and the effects of manmade and dangerous climate change are already manifestly occurring;
- (b) notes that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) detail that we are already seeing the consequences of a 1°C of global warming through more extreme weather, rising sea levels and diminishing Arctic sea ice, among other worrying changes;
- (c) notes that this Administration has previously categorised climate change as the biggest social justice issue of this century which requires bold, radical action, and last year implemented the Green City Strategy - setting the goal of becoming a zero carbon city by 2050, showing our city's commitment towards making our contribution towards the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement;

- (d) recognises the critical role that cities have to play in delivering a zero carbon future and that whilst the present Government have, in this Council's opinion, been woefully inadequate at rising to the scale of the climate change challenge, city leaders can take innovative solutions to address many of the causes and impact of climate change on a systemic level;
- (e) recalls that the city has already undertaken a number of innovative and bold initiatives that are aimed at reducing our impact on the climate and reducing our city's carbon emissions, but recognises that limiting global warming cannot be achieved by a single organisation or a technological silver bullet, and requires changes to how we all live, work and play and believes every citizen has a role to play in securing a climate safe future;
- (f) further notes that, in recognition of this, the Administration established a Green City Partnership Board, with representation from key city stakeholders including our universities, the private sector and community and voluntary organisations, including the Sheffield Climate Alliance, with the agreed purpose of ensuring that Sheffield can achieve the Green City Strategy objectives and deliver a low carbon, resilient and sustainable city;
- (g) notes that, over the period 2013/14 to 2016/17, the Council has reduced its annual CO₂ emissions by 19%, and that this Administration has also initiated schemes to reduce carbon emissions throughout the city, such as:-
 - (i) as a landlord with over 40,000 homes, Sheffield City Council has invested in improving the fabric and insulation of our homes and installed high-efficiency gas central heating boilers in the majority of homes, and as a result, our homes have increased their SAP11 (Standard Assessment Procedure) energy rating from 64 out of 100 in 2005 to 71 in 2016-17;
 - (ii) use of hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles as part of its vehicle fleet since 2017, noting that the vehicles use the wind powered mini-grid hydrogen refuelling station at the Advanced Manufacturing Park;
 - (iii) continued development of the district heat network, turning local waste into electricity and heat for the city, with up to 45MW of heat produced and supplied to over 140 buildings connected to the District Energy Network; in addition, the facility generates up to 21 MW of electricity to the National Grid, which is enough to power 25,000 homes;
 - (iv) using new technology where available, including 66,800 new LED streetlights;

- (v) our Ethical Procurement approach ensures that the Council's suppliers do everything they can to reduce their carbon footprint;
 - (vi) as well as reducing carbon, we are committed to improving the city's air – we are looking at implementing a Clean Air Zone in the city centre, tackling the most polluting vehicles, and we are the first large city to introduce anti-idling measures to stop people leaving their engines running outside schools; and
 - (vii) our transport strategy is seeking to make sustainable modes of transport the number one choice for people in Sheffield;
- (h) notes that the IPCC report identifies cities as one of four critical global systems that can accelerate and upscale climate action, but recognises this will require major transitions in how both mitigation and adaptation are undertaken and, therefore, we need to consider the opportunities the city has to deliver on a revised commitment, as there is only a limited advantage to be gained in setting a target without clear deliverable actions that will enable us as a city to achieve this;
- (i) believes that, as a city, we have made considerable progress in carbon reduction but we need to go further still in light of the IPCC's special report on Global Warming of 1.5°C, published in October 2018, which confirmed the catastrophic consequences of manmade climate change and urgent need to act;
- (j) therefore declares unequivocally that our city, country and planet are facing a CLIMATE EMERGENCY;
- (k) notes that as a result of this call for action, the Green City Partnership Board will be exploring how Sheffield should respond to the IPCC report, both in terms of actions as well as reviewing our existing commitment to become a zero carbon city by 2050; and
- (l) supports this Administration's commitment to report back to Full Council within 6 months, with a more ambitious date for the city to become zero carbon, accompanied by an action plan setting out the required work to deliver a new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and would entirely and actively welcome the involvement of the cross-party scrutiny system in shaping and overseeing this vital work.

4.3 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Andrew Sangar, seconded by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by:-

1. the addition of the following words at the end of paragraph (g)(vii) as follows:-

“; and believes a comprehensive, efficient, affordable, integrated, reliable, expanding and low-carbon bus service is a necessary condition

to tackling the climate emergency, and such a service must integrate fully with tram and train services”;

2. the addition of new paragraphs (h) to (k) as follows, and the re-lettering of original paragraphs (h) to (j) as new paragraphs (l) to (n):-

(h) notes the Liberal Democrat commitments to legislate with:-

(i) a Zero Carbon Act which would commit Britain to be a net zero carbon emitter;

(ii) a Green Transport Act to tackle the public health crisis of air pollution;

(iii) a Green Buildings Act to introduce a zero carbon homes standard and tackle fuel poverty;

(iv) a Nature Act in order to protect and restore bio-diversity, and pay farmers public money for public goods; and

(v) a Zero Waste Act to introduce legally binding targets for cutting waste;

(i) notes that 1.5°C of warming is most likely to occur around 2040 and therefore believes we need to take radical action to decarbonise well before this time at local, national and global levels of governance;

(j) notes that, for Sheffield to be carbon neutral by 2030, it will require broad political consensus and public support for radical policymaking proposals;

(k) believes that citizen involvement should be central to climate action decision-making in order to demonstrate consensus and community support, and that the zero carbon Sheffield by 2030 target also requires an evidence-based approach that is informed by experts;

3. the deletion of original paragraphs (k) and (l) and the addition of new paragraphs (o) to (t) as follows:-

(o) requests the Administration to make plans for a Citizen’s Assembly to inform Sheffield City Council (SCC) policymaking on Council-wide decarbonisation plans, and for the Citizen’s Assembly to have an explicit agenda to explore the achievable pathways (set of policies) to zero carbon by 2030 and inform SCC of the preferred pathway(s), decided by consensus;

(p) directs the Chief Executive to report back within one month with a proposed timetable, independent discussion facilitators and experts to help lead evidence-based and unbiased dialogue among Citizen’s Assembly participants; and that SCC should decide how the Citizen’s

Assembly will interact with the Green City Partnership Board;

- (q) notes the concerns raised across the country into the exploration of shale gas and recent earthquakes attributed to fracking across the UK;
- (r) notes that the ten local authorities that make up Greater Manchester will write into their planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas and that the Greater Manchester Region have adopted a region-wide policy of opposition to fracking;
- (s) calls for Sheffield City Council to write into its planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas; and
- (t) calls for all local authorities in the Sheffield City Region to commit to a policy of opposition to fracking.

4.4 It was then moved by Councillor Martin Phipps, seconded by Councillor Alison Teal, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of paragraph (l) and the addition of new paragraphs (l) and (m) as follows:-

- (l) supports this Administration's commitment to report back to Full Council within 6 months, pledging to make plans to bring forward the Council's existing target to be a zero carbon city from 2050 to 2030 at the latest, setting out the actions the Council needs to take to meet this revised target, and entirely and actively welcoming the involvement of the cross-party scrutiny system in shaping and overseeing this vital work; and
- (m) asks this Administration to make an immediate decisive contribution towards this vital target by ensuring that the forthcoming procurement of the Council's electricity supply is entirely drawn from renewable sources.

4.5 After contributions from five other Members, with Councillor Jack Scott having foregone his right of reply in order to allow a contribution from the fifth speaker, the amendment moved by Councillor Andrew Sangar was put to the vote and was carried, except for paragraph (h) of part 2 of the amendment and paragraphs (o) and (p) of part 3 of the amendment, which were negatived. Furthermore, the new paragraphs were carried on the basis that they were to be additional to the motion and that the original paragraphs (k) and (l) were not to be deleted, as had been proposed within part 3 of the amendment.

4.5.1 The votes on the amendment moved by Councillor Andrew Sangar were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For part 1, paragraphs (i), (j) and (k) of part 2, and paragraphs (q) to (t) of part 3 of the amendment (72) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook,

Kaltum Rivers, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps, Mohammed Mahroof, Anne Murphy, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Abdul Khayum, Alan Law, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Alison Teal, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Mike Levery, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

- Against part 1, paragraphs (i), (j) and (k) of part 2, and paragraphs (q) to (t) of part 3 of the amendment (1) - Councillor John Booker
- Abstained from voting on part 1, paragraphs (i), (j) and (k) of part 2, and paragraphs (q) to (t) of part 3 of the amendment (1) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid).
- For paragraph (h) of part 2 of the amendment (19) - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery.
- Against paragraph (h) of part 2 of the amendment (49) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Anne Murphy, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Abdul Khayum, Alan

Law, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained from voting on paragraph (h) of part 2 of the amendment (6) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal.

For paragraphs (o) and (p) of part 3 of the amendment (24) - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery.

Against paragraphs (o) and (p) of part 3 of the amendment (49) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Michelle Cook, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Anne Murphy, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Abdul Khayum, Alan Law, Lewis Dagnall, Cate McDonald, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Mohammad Maroof, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Jack Scott, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained from voting on paragraphs (o) and (p) of part 3 of the amendment (1) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid).

- 4.6 The amendment moved by Councillor Martin Phipps was then put to the vote and was negatived.
- 4.7 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) believes that climate change and sustainability are amongst the biggest issues of the 21st century and the effects of manmade and dangerous climate change are already manifestly occurring;
- (b) notes that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) detail that we are already seeing the consequences of a 1°C of global warming through more extreme weather, rising sea levels and diminishing Arctic sea ice, among other worrying changes;
- (c) notes that this Administration has previously categorised climate change as the biggest social justice issue of this century which requires bold, radical action, and last year implemented the Green City Strategy - setting the goal of becoming a zero carbon city by 2050, showing our city's commitment towards making our contribution towards the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement;
- (d) recognises the critical role that cities have to play in delivering a zero carbon future and that whilst the present Government have, in this Council's opinion, been woefully inadequate at rising to the scale of the climate change challenge, city leaders can take innovative solutions to address many of the causes and impact of climate change on a systemic level;
- (e) recalls that the city has already undertaken a number of innovative and bold initiatives that are aimed at reducing our impact on the climate and reducing our city's carbon emissions, but recognises that limiting global warming cannot be achieved by a single organisation or a technological silver bullet, and requires changes to how we all live, work and play and believes every citizen has a role to play in securing a climate safe future;
- (f) further notes that, in recognition of this, the Administration established a Green City Partnership Board, with representation from key city stakeholders including our universities, the private sector and community and voluntary organisations, including the Sheffield Climate Alliance, with the agreed purpose of ensuring that Sheffield can achieve the Green City Strategy objectives and deliver a low carbon, resilient and sustainable city;
- (g) notes that, over the period 2013/14 to 2016/17, the Council has reduced its annual CO₂ emissions by 19%, and that this Administration has also initiated schemes to reduce carbon emissions throughout the city, such

as:-

- (i) as a landlord with over 40,000 homes, Sheffield City Council has invested in improving the fabric and insulation of our homes and installed high-efficiency gas central heating boilers in the majority of homes, and as a result, our homes have increased their SAP11 (Standard Assessment Procedure) energy rating from 64 out of 100 in 2005 to 71 in 2016-17;
- (ii) use of hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles as part of its vehicle fleet since 2017, noting that the vehicles use the wind powered mini-grid hydrogen refuelling station at the Advanced Manufacturing Park;
- (iii) continued development of the district heat network, turning local waste into electricity and heat for the city, with up to 45MW of heat produced and supplied to over 140 buildings connected to the District Energy Network; in addition, the facility generates up to 21 MW of electricity to the National Grid, which is enough to power 25,000 homes;
- (iv) using new technology where available, including 66,800 new LED streetlights;
- (v) our Ethical Procurement approach ensures that the Council's suppliers do everything they can to reduce their carbon footprint;
- (vi) as well as reducing carbon, we are committed to improving the city's air – we are looking at implementing a Clean Air Zone in the city centre, tackling the most polluting vehicles, and we are the first large city to introduce anti-idling measures to stop people leaving their engines running outside schools; and
- (vii) our transport strategy is seeking to make sustainable modes of transport the number one choice for people in Sheffield; and believes a comprehensive, efficient, affordable, integrated, reliable, expanding and low-carbon bus service is a necessary condition to tackling the climate emergency, and such a service must integrate fully with tram and train services;
- (h) notes that the IPCC report identifies cities as one of four critical global systems that can accelerate and upscale climate action, but recognises this will require major transitions in how both mitigation and adaptation are undertaken and, therefore, we need to consider the opportunities the city has to deliver on a revised commitment, as there is only a limited advantage to be gained in setting a target without clear deliverable actions that will enable us as a city to achieve this;
- (i) believes that, as a city, we have made considerable progress in carbon reduction but we need to go further still in light of the IPCC's special

- report on Global Warming of 1.5°C, published in October 2018, which confirmed the catastrophic consequences of manmade climate change and urgent need to act;
- (j) therefore declares unequivocally that our city, country and planet are facing a CLIMATE EMERGENCY;
 - (k) notes that as a result of this call for action, the Green City Partnership Board will be exploring how Sheffield should respond to the IPCC report, both in terms of actions as well as reviewing our existing commitment to become a zero carbon city by 2050;
 - (l) supports this Administration's commitment to report back to Full Council within 6 months, with a more ambitious date for the city to become zero carbon, accompanied by an action plan setting out the required work to deliver a new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and would entirely and actively welcome the involvement of the cross-party scrutiny system in shaping and overseeing this vital work;
 - (m) notes that 1.5°C of warming is most likely to occur around 2040 and therefore believes we need to take radical action to decarbonise well before this time at local, national and global levels of governance;
 - (n) notes that, for Sheffield to be carbon neutral by 2030, it will require broad political consensus and public support for radical policymaking proposals;
 - (o) believes that citizen involvement should be central to climate action decision-making in order to demonstrate consensus and community support, and that the zero carbon Sheffield by 2030 target also requires an evidence-based approach that is informed by experts;
 - (p) notes the concerns raised across the country into the exploration of shale gas and recent earthquakes attributed to fracking across the UK;
 - (q) notes that the ten local authorities that make up Greater Manchester will write into their planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas and that the Greater Manchester Region have adopted a region-wide policy of opposition to fracking;
 - (r) calls for Sheffield City Council to write into its planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas; and
 - (s) calls for all local authorities in the Sheffield City Region to commit to a policy of opposition to fracking.

4.7.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Shaffaq Mohammed, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue

Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery voted for paragraphs (a) to (e), (g) to (k) and (m) to (s), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (f) and (l) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded;

2. Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (a) to (d), (i), (j) and (m) to (s), voted against paragraph (h), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (e), (f), (g), (k) and (l) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and

3. Councillor John Booker voted for paragraphs (f), (g)(i) to (g)(iv), (g)(vi), (g)(vii), (h) and (q) to (s), and voted against paragraphs (a) to (e), (g)(v) and (i) to (p) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

5. HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT (HRA) BUSINESS PLAN & HRA BUDGET 2019/20

5.1 RESOLVED: On the motion of the Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and seconded by Councillor Peter Rippon, that, in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 9.1, the order of business as published on the Council Summons be altered by taking item 5 on the agenda (Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and Budget 2019/20) as the next item of business.

5.2 It was moved by Councillor Jim Steinke, seconded by Councillor Paul Wood, that the following recommendations made by the Cabinet at its meeting held on 16th January 2019 in relation to the Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and Budget 2019/20, be approved:-

“RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommends to the meeting of the City Council on 6th February 2019 that:-

- (a) the HRA Business Plan report for 2019/20, as set out in the appendix to the report, is approved;
- (b) the HRA Revenue Budget 2019/20, as set out in the appendix to the report, is approved;
- (c) rents for Council dwellings, including temporary accommodation, are reduced by 1% from April 2019 in line with requirements in the Welfare Reform and Work Act 2016;
- (d) garage rents for garage plots and garage sites will remain unchanged for 2019/20;
- (e) the community heating standing charge will increase by 20p per week for 2019/20;
- (f) the sheltered housing service charge will remain unchanged for 2019/20;

- (g) burglar alarm charges will remain unchanged for 2019/20; and
- (h) service charges for furnished accommodation will remain unchanged for 2019/20.”

5.3 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Penny Baker, and formally seconded by Councillor Sue Auckland, as an amendment, that the recommendations made by the Cabinet at its meeting held on 16th January, 2019, concerning the Housing Revenue Account Business Plan and HRA Budget 2019/20, be approved with the addition of new paragraphs (i) and (j) as follows:-

- (i) notes that Sheffield City Council holds a number of ‘sundry’ properties within the HRA housing stock which is a stock of non-traditional houses yet to be investigated for use as social housing;
- (j) requests that officers review the existing policy on ‘sundry’ properties, with a view to:-
 - (i) surveying the portfolio of sundry properties for their suitability for conversion into social housing; and
 - (ii) proposing an investment plan to bring as many of these properties back into use as social housing as soon as possible.

5.4 On being put to the vote, the amendment was carried.

5.5 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That:-

- (a) the HRA Business Plan report for 2019/20, as set out in the appendix to the report, is approved;
- (b) the HRA Revenue Budget 2019/20, as set out in the appendix to the report, is approved;
- (c) rents for Council dwellings, including temporary accommodation, are reduced by 1% from April 2019 in line with requirements in the Welfare Reform and Work Act 2016;
- (d) garage rents for garage plots and garage sites will remain unchanged for 2019/20;
- (e) the community heating standing charge will increase by 20p per week for 2019/20;
- (f) the sheltered housing service charge will remain unchanged for

- 2019/20;
- (g) burglar alarm charges will remain unchanged for 2019/20;
 - (h) service charges for furnished accommodation will remain unchanged for 2019/20;
 - (i) it be noted that Sheffield City Council holds a number of 'sundry' properties within the HRA housing stock which is a stock of non-traditional houses yet to be investigated for use as social housing; and
 - (j) officers be requested to review the existing policy on 'sundry' properties, with a view to:-
 - (i) surveying the portfolio of sundry properties for their suitability for conversion into social housing; and
 - (ii) proposing an investment plan to bring as many of these properties back into use as social housing as soon as possible.

6. MEMBERS' QUESTIONS

- 6.1 A schedule of questions to Cabinet Members, submitted in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 16, and which contained written answers, was circulated.
- 6.2 Supplementary questions (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.4), questions relating to urgent business (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6ii) and questions relating to the discharge of the functions of the South Yorkshire Joint Authorities for Fire and Rescue and Pensions (under the provisions of Council Procedure Rule 16.6i), were not able to be asked before the meeting terminated at 5.30 p.m..

7. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "OPPOSITION TO GOVERNMENT PLANS TO REMOVE DEPRIVATION AND POVERTY FROM COUNCIL FUNDING FORMULA" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR OLIVIA BLAKE AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ROBERT JOHNSON

- 7.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Olivia Blake, and formally seconded by Councillor Peter Rippon, that this Council:-
- (a) notes that the Government have set out proposals for a new funding formula and further changes to the distribution of business rates, which would mark one of the biggest shake-ups of council funding in living memory;
 - (b) notes that the proposed changes include the recommendation that grant

allocations should no longer be weighted to reflect the higher costs of poverty and deprivation;

- (c) believes that this would be disastrous for urban, and predominately Labour-run, authorities and once again mean that poorer areas are bearing the greatest brunt of austerity at the expense of wealthier areas;
- (d) notes the condemnation of Leaders of urban councils who have written to Ministers to complain that under the “grossly unfair and illogical” proposals, potentially tens of millions of pounds would be switched to rural and suburban council areas;
- (e) notes that, at present, the distribution of revenue resource grants to councils is weighted to support poor areas due to deprivation being acknowledged as a driver of higher than average demand for social care, housing, and other neighbourhood services, as well as recognising that poorer areas are less able to raise taxes locally;
- (f) notes, however, the Government’s proposal would remove deprivation from the foundation formula that covers funds for waste disposal, public transport, libraries, leisure, planning, homelessness and recreation, which together amount to about 30% of a council’s budget, with, instead, funding distributed purely on a population basis – with an added weighting for the costs of rurality;
- (g) believes that the Government cannot justify how services such as waste collection, street cleaning, homelessness, public transport and libraries are needed solely on the basis of population numbers no matter where people live, and that it is well established and understood that the cost of providing services increases in more deprived areas – due, in part, to extra demand on services and as residents are less able to finance their needs themselves;
- (h) notes that Metropolitan districts in England have already suffered the sharpest real terms cuts since 2010 – with, on a nation-wide average, a reduction in revenue spending power of 33.9% compared with county councils seeing a reduction of 22.1% over the same period;
- (i) believes that the changes are a shameless attempt by this Government to prop up financially struggling authorities and declining services in Tory heartlands, with an estimated 76% of Conservative MPs representing constituencies covered by county councils, with Government Ministers bowing to pressure from the Conservative-dominated County Councils Network (CCN) to change the grants system - by agreeing with the CCN argument that its members are unfairly allocated less money per head of population than inner-city areas;
- (j) notes the recent Centre for Cities report which found that cities have borne nearly three-quarters (74%) of all real-terms local government

funding cuts in the last decade despite being home to just 54% of the population - the equivalent to a reduction of £386 per city dweller since 2009/10, compared to £172 per person living elsewhere;

- (k) notes that report also stated that this is even much more pronounced in the North of England, with average spending reduced by 20% compared to 9% for those cities in the South West, East of England and South East, excluding London;
- (l) further notes that, as outlined by a Sheffield City Council report in January, government spending cuts have impacted most on councils in more deprived areas and yet the Government are, shockingly, looking to make this worse still;
- (m) notes that the Government's proposed changes would be to the serious detriment of urban, economically and socially disadvantaged areas, which also mainly happen to be Labour-run council areas, and believes it is a national scandal that, since 2010, governments have looked after their own affluent communities while leaving struggling communities to bear the greatest cuts; and
- (n) believes, quite simply, it is nothing less than naked class war and that like any war, there are casualties: from the homeless to damaged childhoods to women fleeing violence – and that we need a Labour government now more than ever to ensure that these abhorrent and ideological attacks on local authorities like Sheffield cease immediately.

7.2 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Simon Clement-Jones, and formally seconded by Councillor Penny Baker, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of paragraphs (c), (d), (l), (m) and (n); the re-lettering of original paragraphs (e) to (k) as new paragraphs (c) to (i); and the addition of new paragraphs (j) and (k) as follows:-

- (j) believes the Labour-run Sheffield City Council should be reaching out to other local authorities who are concerned about the fairer funding review and encourage them to take part in the ongoing consultation seeking views on the approach to measuring the relative needs and resources of local authorities; and
- (k) believes that this Administration is turning this important funding issue into a class-war and does not take into account that this major policy change by the government affects areas across the country, and therefore requires a more cooperative and collaborative approach to lobby central government together to achieve a fairer funding settlement for local government.

7.3 On being put to the vote, the amendment was negatived.

7.4 The original Motion was then put to the vote and carried as follows:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) notes that the Government have set out proposals for a new funding formula and further changes to the distribution of business rates, which would mark one of the biggest shake-ups of council funding in living memory;
- (b) notes that the proposed changes include the recommendation that grant allocations should no longer be weighted to reflect the higher costs of poverty and deprivation;
- (c) believes that this would be disastrous for urban, and predominately Labour-run, authorities and once again mean that poorer areas are bearing the greatest brunt of austerity at the expense of wealthier areas;
- (d) notes the condemnation of Leaders of urban councils who have written to Ministers to complain that under the “grossly unfair and illogical” proposals, potentially tens of millions of pounds would be switched to rural and suburban council areas;
- (e) notes that, at present, the distribution of revenue resource grants to councils is weighted to support poor areas due to deprivation being acknowledged as a driver of higher than average demand for social care, housing, and other neighbourhood services, as well as recognising that poorer areas are less able to raise taxes locally;
- (f) notes, however, the Government’s proposal would remove deprivation from the foundation formula that covers funds for waste disposal, public transport, libraries, leisure, planning, homelessness and recreation, which together amount to about 30% of a council’s budget, with, instead, funding distributed purely on a population basis – with an added weighting for the costs of rurality;
- (g) believes that the Government cannot justify how services such as waste collection, street cleaning, homelessness, public transport and libraries are needed solely on the basis of population numbers no matter where people live, and that it is well established and understood that the cost of providing services increases in more deprived areas – due, in part, to extra demand on services and as residents are less able to finance their needs themselves;
- (h) notes that Metropolitan districts in England have already suffered the sharpest real terms cuts since 2010 – with, on a nation-wide average, a reduction in revenue spending power of 33.9% compared with county councils seeing a reduction of 22.1% over the same period;
- (i) believes that the changes are a shameless attempt by this Government to prop up financially struggling authorities and declining services in Tory heartlands, with an estimated 76% of Conservative MPs representing constituencies covered by county councils, with

Government Ministers bowing to pressure from the Conservative-dominated County Councils Network (CCN) to change the grants system - by agreeing with the CCN argument that its members are unfairly allocated less money per head of population than inner-city areas;

- (j) notes the recent Centre for Cities report which found that cities have borne nearly three-quarters (74%) of all real-terms local government funding cuts in the last decade despite being home to just 54% of the population - the equivalent to a reduction of £386 per city dweller since 2009/10, compared to £172 per person living elsewhere;
- (k) notes that report also stated that this is even much more pronounced in the North of England, with average spending reduced by 20% compared to 9% for those cities in the South West, East of England and South East, excluding London;
- (l) further notes that, as outlined by a Sheffield City Council report in January, government spending cuts have impacted most on councils in more deprived areas and yet the Government are, shockingly, looking to make this worse still;
- (m) notes that the Government's proposed changes would be to the serious detriment of urban, economically and socially disadvantaged areas, which also mainly happen to be Labour-run council areas, and believes it is a national scandal that, since 2010, governments have looked after their own affluent communities while leaving struggling communities to bear the greatest cuts; and
- (n) believes, quite simply, it is nothing less than naked class war and that like any war, there are casualties: from the homeless to damaged childhoods to women fleeing violence – and that we need a Labour government now more than ever to ensure that these abhorrent and ideological attacks on local authorities like Sheffield cease immediately.

7.4.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery voted for paragraphs (a), (b), (e) to (h) and (j) to (l), and against paragraphs (c), (d), (i), (m) and (n) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and

2. Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (a) to (h) and (j) to (m), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (i) and (n) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

8. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "GUARANTEEING NO MORE CUTS AND NO MORE FARE INCREASES" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR IAN AUCKLAND AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR RICHARD SHAW

8.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Ian Auckland, and formally seconded by Councillor Richard Shaw, that this Council:-

- (a) believes that the Sheffield Bus Partnership has failed the citizens of Sheffield by not improving services and keeping fares low which has led to:-
 - (i) cuts to services available to the general public;
 - (ii) extortionate fare increases, forcing people to use their cars over public transport as a cheaper and more accessible option; and
 - (iii) a lack of public confidence in the reliability of the bus service, which is also contributing to decreasing passenger numbers; noting that punctuality of bus services has reduced, down to 84% from 87% over the past three years;
- (b) is concerned that increased car use will add to air pollution in the city centre if fewer people are using more energy efficient bus services;
- (c) is concerned that cuts to bus services are preventing people from getting to work, school, run errands and attend medical appointments;
- (d) notes that, under the Bus Services Act 2017, the Sheffield City Region Mayoral Combined Authority has the power to enact bus franchising and was agreed in the original 2015 Sheffield devolution deal;
- (e) believes that bus franchising will be a long-term solution to these problems, allowing bus operators and local authorities to work collaboratively to improve bus services and realise untapped growth potentials in regional and rural areas;
- (f) acknowledges the excellence of remaining municipal bus operators, and accepts the potential of a return of municipal enterprise in public transport services; however, notes that this would require new legislation, together with the assumption of substantial liabilities, risk and costs beyond that needed for a franchise approach; and
- (g) resolves to:-
 - (i) call on this Administration to protect passengers from further fare increases, cuts to routes and unreliable services by using any available means, which could include, but not necessarily be limited to:-
 - (A) giving notice to immediately terminate the Bus Partnership

Agreement;

- (B) enlisting the support of South Yorkshire Passenger Transport Executive;
 - (C) an active media campaign; and
 - (D) a review of existing bus priority measures in order to deliver a more reliable, greener and efficient bus service;
- (ii) support the introduction of a statutory bus quality contract in Sheffield;
 - (iii) give notice to terminate Sheffield City Council's membership of the Sheffield Bus Partnership immediately;
 - (iv) call on the Sheffield City Region Mayor to progress bus franchising under the powers of the Bus Services Act 2017; and
 - (v) send copies of this motion to all the other parties to the Bus Partnership Agreement.

8.2 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Sophie Wilson, and formally seconded by Councillor Andy Bainbridge, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of all the words after the words "That this Council" and the addition of the following words:-

- (a) agrees with comments from former Liberal Democrat Minister for Transport, the Rt. Hon. Norman Baker, that the Sheffield Bus Partnership Agreement was, at the time, "good news for the City"; and that the partnership directly led to the exclusive Better Bus Area grant of £18.3 million to further improve Sheffield's bus offer just a year later;
- (b) notes that the recent Bus in Crises report found that, nationally, bus services are under sustained pressure, with council funding almost halved since 2010;
- (c) further notes that budgets to subsidise routes were reduced by another £20m last year and 188 services were cut, according to the Campaign for Better Transport, and that local authorities across England and Wales had taken £182m away from supported bus services over the decade, affecting more than 3,000 bus routes;
- (d) believes that councils had been put in an impossible position due to actions from successive governments since 2010 and the resulting funding squeeze;
- (e) notes that South Yorkshire transport activity is principally resourced through a Levy contributed by the four districts and that, as partners' budgets have come under increasing pressure, the Levy has been

reduced, noting that since April 2010, the Levy across South Yorkshire has fallen by £38.9m (41% down) from £94.7m to £55.8m for the financial year 2018/19, and further notes that Sheffield Liberal Democrat councillors supported these reductions;

- (f) recalls the formation of the Coalition Government in 2010 and the onslaught of cuts that Sheffield has suffered from, which were wholly unopposed by the local Liberal Democrat Group, despite all the evidence that showed the damage they were doing to Sheffield;
- (g) notes that despite these unprecedented cuts, which this Council believes were politically motivated, the Partnership has helped to ensure that city bus day and weekly tickets are still lower today in 2018 than they were in 2015, and that operators have invested heavily in modern technology, such as contactless ticket technology on almost every bus, free wifi, and USB ports in the new vehicles and the introduction of 117 greener and low emission buses;
- (h) believes that this Administration is doing everything possible to ensure that buses can pass through the city as efficiently as possible, and supports the planned improvements on the inner ring road, which will mean buses are able to pass through significantly more quickly, improving punctuality and reliability for numerous services;
- (i) notes that this Administration opposed government plans which have stripped local authorities of their ability to set-up and run their own municipal bus operators, and notes that Labour have promised to reverse this nationally;
- (j) recognises that control of the bus service is one of the powers available to the Sheffield City Region Mayor, and recalls that the opportunity to re-regulate bus services in the city was one of the reasons why this Council agreed to support the devolution deal; and
- (k) notes that, in November 2018, this Council called on the Sheffield City Region Mayor to bring forward re-regulation of the bus service.

8.3 It was then formally moved by Councillor Rob Murphy, and formally seconded by Councillor Douglas Johnson, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of paragraph (g)(iv) and the substitution of a new paragraph (g)(iv) as follows:-

- (g)(iv) note the manifesto commitment of the Sheffield City Region Mayor in May 2018 to 'use regulatory powers', and the call from this Council on 7th November 2018 to him to 'bring forward reregulation of the bus service', and calls again for the Mayor to progress bus franchising under the powers of the Bus Services Act 2017; and

8.4 It was then formally moved by Councillor Penny Baker, and formally seconded by Councillor Sue Auckland, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted

be amended by the addition of new paragraphs (h) to (j) as follows:-

- (h) agrees with Clive Betts MP that the cost of public transport was a "real problem" in the City and that "it's a history over the last few decades of well above inflation fare rises whilst services have deteriorated all the time";
- (i) condemns recent fare increases as ultimately a futile strategy, only increasing bus company revenue and profits in the short term, further noting that, according to Matt Davis, Managing Director, Stagecoach Yorkshire, "where these costs are passed on to passengers through fares, every 8% increase in costs leads to a potential 5.6% fall in passenger numbers. Also, every 10% reduction in service frequency results in an estimated 5% drop in patronage"; and
- (j) notes comments recently made by the Labour Party Leader, the Rt. Hon. Jeremy Corbyn, MP, that "Passengers now face a toxic mix of rising fares, cuts to services and reduced access" and "For too long the bus industry has put profit for a few before millions of passengers", further notes that it is for councils to choose which bus operations to support in their area, and believes this that Administration continues to support fare increases and service cuts.

8.5 The amendment moved by Councillor Sophie Wilson was put to the vote and was carried.

8.5.1 (NOTE: Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (b), (c), (e) and (k), voted against paragraphs (a), (d) and (h), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (f), (g), (i) and (j) of the amendment, and asked for this to be recorded.)

8.6 The amendment moved by Councillor Rob Murphy was put to the vote and was carried on the basis that the new paragraph was to be an additional paragraph to the Substantive Motion.

8.7 The amendment moved by Councillor Penny Baker was put to the vote and was carried, except for paragraph (j) which was negatived.

8.7.1 (NOTE: Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (h) and (i), and abstained from voting on paragraph (j) of the amendment, and asked for this to be recorded.)

8.8 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) agrees with comments from former Liberal Democrat Minister for Transport, the Rt. Hon. Norman Baker, that the Sheffield Bus Partnership Agreement was, at the time, "good news for the City"; and

- that the partnership directly led to the exclusive Better Bus Area grant of £18.3 million to further improve Sheffield's bus offer just a year later;
- (b) notes that the recent Bus in Crises report found that, nationally, bus services are under sustained pressure, with council funding almost halved since 2010;
 - (c) further notes that budgets to subsidise routes were reduced by another £20m last year and 188 services were cut, according to the Campaign for Better Transport, and that local authorities across England and Wales had taken £182m away from supported bus services over the decade, affecting more than 3,000 bus routes;
 - (d) believes that councils had been put in an impossible position due to actions from successive governments since 2010 and the resulting funding squeeze;
 - (e) notes that South Yorkshire transport activity is principally resourced through a Levy contributed by the four districts and that, as partners' budgets have come under increasing pressure, the Levy has been reduced, noting that since April 2010, the Levy across South Yorkshire has fallen by £38.9m (41% down) from £94.7m to £55.8m for the financial year 2018/19, and further notes that Sheffield Liberal Democrat councillors supported these reductions;
 - (f) recalls the formation of the Coalition Government in 2010 and the onslaught of cuts that Sheffield has suffered from, which were wholly unopposed by the local Liberal Democrat Group, despite all the evidence that showed the damage they were doing to Sheffield;
 - (g) notes that despite these unprecedented cuts, which this Council believes were politically motivated, the Partnership has helped to ensure that city bus day and weekly tickets are still lower today in 2018 than they were in 2015, and that operators have invested heavily in modern technology, such as contactless ticket technology on almost every bus, free wifi, and USB ports in the new vehicles and the introduction of 117 greener and low emission buses;
 - (h) believes that this Administration is doing everything possible to ensure that buses can pass through the city as efficiently as possible, and supports the planned improvements on the inner ring road, which will mean buses are able to pass through significantly more quickly, improving punctuality and reliability for numerous services;
 - (i) notes that this Administration opposed government plans which have stripped local authorities of their ability to set-up and run their own municipal bus operators, and notes that Labour have promised to reverse this nationally;
 - (j) recognises that control of the bus service is one of the powers available

to the Sheffield City Region Mayor, and recalls that the opportunity to re-regulate bus services in the city was one of the reasons why this Council agreed to support the devolution deal;

- (k) notes that, in November 2018, this Council called on the Sheffield City Region Mayor to bring forward re-regulation of the bus service;
- (l) notes the manifesto commitment of the Sheffield City Region Mayor in May 2018 to 'use regulatory powers', and the call from this Council on 7th November 2018 to him to 'bring forward reregulation of the bus service', and calls again for the Mayor to progress bus franchising under the powers of the Bus Services Act 2017;
- (m) agrees with Clive Betts MP that the cost of public transport was a "real problem" in the City and that "it's a history over the last few decades of well above inflation fare rises whilst services have deteriorated all the time"; and
- (n) condemns recent fare increases as ultimately a futile strategy, only increasing bus company revenue and profits in the short term, further noting that, according to Matt Davis, Managing Director, Stagecoach Yorkshire, "where these costs are passed on to passengers through fares, every 8% increase in costs leads to a potential 5.6% fall in passenger numbers. Also, every 10% reduction in service frequency results in an estimated 5% drop in patronage".

8.8.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery voted for paragraphs (b), (c), (j), (k), (m) and (n), and against paragraphs (a), (d) to (i) and (l) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and

2. Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (b), (c), (e), (k), (l), (m) and (n), voted against paragraphs (a), (d) and (h), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (f), (g), (i) and (j) of the amendment, and asked for this to be recorded.)

9. NOTICE OF MOTION REGARDING "A CLIMATE EMERGENCY" - GIVEN BY COUNCILLOR MARTIN PHIPPS AND TO BE SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR ALISON TEAL

9.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Martin Phipps, and formally seconded by Councillor Alison Teal, that this Council:-

- (a) notes the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC's) Special Report, published in October 2018, which describes the enormous harm that a 2°C rise in observed global mean surface temperatures above

pre-industrial levels is likely to cause, but also tells us that a limit of 1.5°C may still be possible with ambitious action from national and local government, the private sector and local communities;

- (b) notes that carbon emissions result from both production and consumption;
- (c) notes that many cities around the world, including Bristol, Nottingham, Brighton, Bradford, Manchester and London, are responding by declaring a 'Climate Emergency' and committing resources to address this;
- (d) notes that this Council has already published a Green City Strategy, which aims to set out a course of ensuring Sheffield is a zero-carbon city by 2050;
- (e) believes that cities are uniquely placed to lead the world in reducing carbon emissions, as they are in many ways easier to decarbonise than rural areas – for example because of their capacity for heat networks and mass transit systems;
- (f) believes that local governments are well placed to make the case for climate action to national governments but also believes that local governments should not wait for their national governments to change their policies;
- (g) therefore, declares a 'Climate Emergency';
- (h) pledges to make plans to bring forward the Council's existing target to be a zero carbon city from 2050 to 2030;
- (i) asks this Administration to make a decisive contribution towards this vital target by ensuring that the forthcoming procurement of the Council's electricity supply is drawn from renewable sources;
- (j) directs the Chief Executive to produce a report to Council within six months on the actions the Council needs to take to meet this revised target; and
- (k) resolves to send a copy of this motion to all Sheffield MPs and the Secretary of State for the Environment.

9.2 Whereupon, it was formally moved by Councillor Neale Gibson, and formally seconded by Councillor Jack Scott, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the deletion of all the words after the words "That this Council" and the addition of the following words:-

- (a) believes that climate change and sustainability are amongst the biggest issues of the 21st century and the effects of manmade and dangerous climate change are already manifestly occurring;

- (b) notes that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) detail that we are already seeing the consequences of a 1°C of global warming through more extreme weather, rising sea levels and diminishing Arctic sea ice, among other worrying changes;
- (c) notes that this Administration has previously categorised climate change as the biggest social justice issue of this century which requires bold, radical action, and last year implemented the Green City Strategy - setting the goal of becoming a zero carbon city by 2050, showing our city's commitment towards making our contribution towards the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement;
- (d) recognises the critical role that cities have to play in delivering a zero carbon future and that whilst the present Government have, in this Council's opinion, been woefully inadequate at rising to the scale of the climate change challenge, city leaders can take innovative solutions to address many of the causes and impact of climate change on a systemic level;
- (e) recalls that the city has already undertaken a number of innovative and bold initiatives that are aimed at reducing our impact on the climate and reducing our city's carbon emissions, but recognises that limiting global warming cannot be achieved by a single organisation or a technological silver bullet, and requires changes to how we all live, work and play and believes every citizen has a role to play in securing a climate safe future;
- (f) further notes that, in recognition of this, the Administration established a Green City Partnership Board, with representation from key city stakeholders including our universities, the private sector and community and voluntary organisations, including the Sheffield Climate Alliance, with the agreed purpose of ensuring that Sheffield can achieve the Green City Strategy objectives and deliver a low carbon, resilient and sustainable city;
- (g) notes that, over the period 2013/14 to 2016/17, the Council has reduced its annual CO₂ emissions by 19%, and that this Administration has also initiated schemes to reduce carbon emissions throughout the city, such as:-
 - (i) as a landlord with over 40,000 homes, Sheffield City Council has invested in improving the fabric and insulation of our homes and installed high-efficiency gas central heating boilers in the majority of homes, and as a result, our homes have increased their SAP11 (Standard Assessment Procedure) energy rating from 64 out of 100 in 2005 to 71 in 2016-17;
 - (ii) use of hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles as part of its vehicle fleet since 2017, noting that the vehicles use the wind powered

- mini-grid hydrogen refuelling station at the Advanced Manufacturing Park;
- (iii) continued development of the district heat network, turning local waste into electricity and heat for the city, with up to 45MW of heat produced and supplied to over 140 buildings connected to the District Energy Network; in addition, the facility generates up to 21MW of electricity to the National Grid, which is enough to power 25,000 homes;
 - (iv) using new technology where available, including 66,800 new LED streetlights;
 - (v) our Ethical Procurement approach ensures that the Council's suppliers do everything they can to reduce their carbon footprint;
 - (vi) as well as reducing carbon, we are committed to improving the city's air – we are looking at implementing a Clean Air Zone in the city centre, tackling the most polluting vehicles, and we are the first large city to introduce anti-idling measures to stop people leaving their engines running outside schools; and
 - (vii) our transport strategy is seeking to make sustainable modes of transport the number one choice for people in Sheffield;
- (h) notes that the IPCC report identifies cities as one of four critical global systems that can accelerate and upscale climate action, but recognises this will require major transitions in how both mitigation and adaptation are undertaken and, therefore, we need to consider the opportunities the city has to deliver on a revised commitment, as there is only a limited advantage to be gained in setting a target without clear deliverable actions that will enable us as a city to achieve this;
- (i) believes that, as a city, we have made considerable progress in carbon reduction but we need to go further still in light of the IPCC's special report on Global Warming of 1.5°C, published in October 2018, which confirmed the catastrophic consequences of manmade climate change and urgent need to act;
- (j) therefore declares unequivocally that our city, country and planet are facing a CLIMATE EMERGENCY;
- (k) notes that as a result of this call for action, the Green City Partnership Board will be exploring how Sheffield should respond to the IPCC report, both in terms of actions as well as reviewing our existing commitment to become a zero carbon city by 2050; and
- (l) supports this Administration's commitment to report back to Full Council within 6 months, with a more ambitious date for the city to become zero carbon, accompanied by an action plan setting out the required work to

deliver a new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and would entirely and actively welcome the involvement of the cross-party scrutiny system in shaping and overseeing this vital work.

9.3 It was then formally moved by Councillor Gail Smith, and formally seconded by Councillor Mohammed Mahroof, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the addition of new paragraphs (l) to (u) as follows:-

- (l) notes the Liberal Democrat commitments to legislate with:-
 - (i) a Zero Carbon Act which would commit Britain to be a net zero carbon emitter;
 - (ii) a Green Transport Act to tackle the public health crisis of air pollution;
 - (iii) a Green Buildings Act to introduce a zero carbon homes standard and tackle fuel poverty;
 - (iv) a Nature Act in order to protect and restore bio-diversity, and pay farmers public money for public goods; and
 - (v) a Zero Waste Act to introduce legally binding targets for cutting waste;
- (m) notes that 1.5°C of warming is most likely to occur around 2040 and therefore believes we need to take radical action to decarbonise well before this time at local, national and global levels of governance;
- (n) notes that, for Sheffield to be carbon neutral by 2030, it will require broad political consensus and public support for radical policymaking proposals;
- (o) believes that citizen involvement should be central to climate action decision-making in order to demonstrate consensus and community support, and that the zero carbon Sheffield by 2030 target also requires an evidence-based approach that is informed by experts;
- (p) requests the Administration to make plans for a Citizen's Assembly to inform Sheffield City Council (SCC) policymaking on Council-wide decarbonisation plans, and for the Citizen's Assembly to have an explicit agenda to explore the achievable pathways (set of policies) to zero carbon by 2030 and inform SCC of the preferred pathway(s), decided by consensus;
- (q) directs the Chief Executive to report back within one month with a proposed timetable, independent discussion facilitators and experts to help lead evidence-based and unbiased dialogue among Citizen's Assembly participants; and that SCC should decide how the Citizen's Assembly will interact with the Green City Partnership Board;

- (r) notes the concerns raised across the country into the exploration of shale gas and recent earthquakes attributed to fracking across the UK;
- (s) notes that the ten local authorities that make up Greater Manchester will write into their planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas and that the Greater Manchester Region have adopted a region-wide policy of opposition to fracking;
- (t) calls for Sheffield City Council to write into its planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas; and
- (u) calls for all local authorities in the Sheffield City Region to commit to a policy of opposition to fracking.

9.4 It was then formally moved by Councillor John Booker, and formally seconded by Councillor Rob Murphy, as an amendment, that the Motion now submitted be amended by the addition of new paragraphs (l) to (p) as follows:-

- (l) believes that declaring a "Climate Emergency" is similar to the Brexit "Project Fear" campaign, and that this type of approach helps no one come to a positive outcome in any debate or action;
- (m) (i) contends that if the Green Party hopes to turn Sheffield into a zero carbon city by 2030, they must state the facts and figures of how this will be achieved and (ii) believes that investing in renewable energy should be supported if it can deliver electricity at competitive rates, but that British families must not be pushed into fuel poverty by politicians enforcing expensive and failing fuel policies;
- (n) notes the push towards hybrids, plug-in hybrids and electric cars, which do their bit to reduce tailpipe emissions, but extra electricity needs to be produced at our power stations, and further notes that (i) electric cars actually increase pollution in places like China, which produces the largest proportion of lithium-ion battery cells and, more importantly, their precursor materials, (ii) the critical element is the anode, often made of graphite, and the average electric car uses 110 pounds of graphite, as do laptops and smartphones to a much lesser degree, and (iii) China supplies much of that demand, but graphite production causes significant pollution in the country;
- (o) further believes that hydro power is part of the solution in relation to renewable energy in Sheffield and the country, and that, with our five rivers running through Sheffield, it would be wonderful to see modern waterwheels and the like once again gracing our waterways; and
- (p) also believes that (i) all resources are precious and should not be abused or used inappropriately, (ii) all balanced people believe in a clean and sustainable environment for all to co-exist in, (iii) from the industrial revolution to the present day, momentous changes have taken

place, mostly for the better, and (iv) for these improvements to keep moving forward, personal doctrines and ideologies must be moved aside.

- 9.5 The amendment moved by Councillor Neale Gibson was put to the vote and was carried.
- 9.6 The amendment moved by Councillor Gail Smith was put to the vote and was carried, except for paragraphs (l), (p) and (q) which were negatived.
- 9.7 The amendment moved by Councillor John Booker was put to the vote and was negatived.
- 9.7.1 (NOTE: Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (m)(ii) and (o), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (l), (m)(i), (n) and (p) of the amendment, and asked for this to be recorded.)
- 9.8 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (a) believes that climate change and sustainability are amongst the biggest issues of the 21st century and the effects of manmade and dangerous climate change are already manifestly occurring;
- (b) notes that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) detail that we are already seeing the consequences of a 1°C of global warming through more extreme weather, rising sea levels and diminishing Arctic sea ice, among other worrying changes;
- (c) notes that this Administration has previously categorised climate change as the biggest social justice issue of this century which requires bold, radical action, and last year implemented the Green City Strategy - setting the goal of becoming a zero carbon city by 2050, showing our city's commitment towards making our contribution towards the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement:-
- (d) recognises the critical role that cities have to play in delivering a zero carbon future and that whilst the present Government have, in this Council's opinion, been woefully inadequate at rising to the scale of the climate change challenge, city leaders can take innovative solutions to address many of the causes and impact of climate change on a systemic level;
- (e) recalls that the city has already undertaken a number of innovative and bold initiatives that are aimed at reducing our impact on the climate and reducing our city's carbon emissions, but recognises that limiting global warming cannot be achieved by a single organisation or a technological

silver bullet, and requires changes to how we all live, work and play and believes every citizen has a role to play in securing a climate safe future;

- (f) further notes that, in recognition of this, the Administration established a Green City Partnership Board, with representation from key city stakeholders including our universities, the private sector and community and voluntary organisations, including the Sheffield Climate Alliance, with the agreed purpose of ensuring that Sheffield can achieve the Green City Strategy objectives and deliver a low carbon, resilient and sustainable city;
- (g) notes that, over the period 2013/14 to 2016/17, the Council has reduced its annual CO₂ emissions by 19%, and that this Administration has also initiated schemes to reduce carbon emissions throughout the city, such as:-
 - (i) as a landlord with over 40,000 homes, Sheffield City Council has invested in improving the fabric and insulation of our homes and installed high-efficiency gas central heating boilers in the majority of homes, and as a result, our homes have increased their SAP11 (Standard Assessment Procedure) energy rating from 64 out of 100 in 2005 to 71 in 2016-17;
 - (ii) use of hydrogen fuel-cell electric vehicles as part of its vehicle fleet since 2017, noting that the vehicles use the wind powered mini-grid hydrogen refuelling station at the Advanced Manufacturing Park;
 - (iii) continued development of the district heat network, turning local waste into electricity and heat for the city, with up to 45MW of heat produced and supplied to over 140 buildings connected to the District Energy Network; in addition, the facility generates up to 21 MW of electricity to the National Grid, which is enough to power 25,000 homes;
 - (iv) using new technology where available, including 66,800 new LED streetlights;
 - (v) our Ethical Procurement approach ensures that the Council's suppliers do everything they can to reduce their carbon footprint;
 - (vi) as well as reducing carbon, we are committed to improving the city's air – we are looking at implementing a Clean Air Zone in the city centre, tackling the most polluting vehicles, and we are the first large city to introduce anti-idling measures to stop people leaving their engines running outside schools; and
 - (vii) our transport strategy is seeking to make sustainable modes of transport the number one choice for people in Sheffield;

- (h) notes that the IPCC report identifies cities as one of four critical global systems that can accelerate and upscale climate action, but recognises this will require major transitions in how both mitigation and adaptation are undertaken and, therefore, we need to consider the opportunities the city has to deliver on a revised commitment, as there is only a limited advantage to be gained in setting a target without clear deliverable actions that will enable us as a city to achieve this;
- (i) believes that, as a city, we have made considerable progress in carbon reduction but we need to go further still in light of the IPCC's special report on Global Warming of 1.5°C, published in October 2018, which confirmed the catastrophic consequences of manmade climate change and urgent need to act;
- (j) therefore declares unequivocally that our city, country and planet are facing a CLIMATE EMERGENCY;
- (k) notes that as a result of this call for action, the Green City Partnership Board will be exploring how Sheffield should respond to the IPCC report, both in terms of actions as well as reviewing our existing commitment to become a zero carbon city by 2050;
- (l) supports this Administration's commitment to report back to Full Council within 6 months, with a more ambitious date for the city to become zero carbon, accompanied by an action plan setting out the required work to deliver a new goal through all relevant strategies and plans, and would entirely and actively welcome the involvement of the cross-party scrutiny system in shaping and overseeing this vital work;
- (m) notes that 1.5°C of warming is most likely to occur around 2040 and therefore believes we need to take radical action to decarbonise well before this time at local, national and global levels of governance;
- (n) notes that, for Sheffield to be carbon neutral by 2030, it will require broad political consensus and public support for radical policymaking proposals;
- (o) believes that citizen involvement should be central to climate action decision-making in order to demonstrate consensus and community support, and that the zero carbon Sheffield by 2030 target also requires an evidence-based approach that is informed by experts;
- (p) notes the concerns raised across the country into the exploration of shale gas and recent earthquakes attributed to fracking across the UK;
- (q) notes that the ten local authorities that make up Greater Manchester will write into their planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas and that the Greater Manchester Region have adopted a region-wide policy of opposition to fracking;

- (r) calls for Sheffield City Council to write into its planning policies a 'presumption' against any request to drill for shale gas; and
- (s) calls for all local authorities in the Sheffield City Region to commit to a policy of opposition to fracking.

9.8.1 (NOTE: 1. Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Martin Smith, Paul Scriven, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Sue Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery voted for paragraphs (a) to (e), (g) to (k) and (m) to (s), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (f) and (l) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded; and

2. Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal voted for paragraphs (a) to (d), (i), (j) and (m) to (s), voted against paragraph (h), and abstained from voting on paragraphs (e), (f), (g), (k) and (l) of the Substantive Motion, and asked for this to be recorded.)

10. CHANGES TO THE CONSTITUTION: TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD

10.1 RESOLVED: That this Council approves the changes to the Terms of Reference of the Health and Wellbeing Board, as set out in the report of the Chief Executive now submitted, and authorises consequential amendments to be made to the Council's Constitution.

11. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING

11.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Dianne Hurst, that the minutes of the meeting of the Council held on 9th January 2019, be approved as a true and accurate record.

12. REPRESENTATION, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES

12.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Dianne Hurst, that Councillor Michelle Cook be nominated to serve as a Company Director of Groundwork South Yorkshire, filling a vacancy.

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council of the City of Sheffield held in the Council Chamber, Town Hall, Pinstone Street, Sheffield, S1 2HH, on Wednesday 6 March 2019, at 2.00 pm, pursuant to notice duly given and Summonses duly served.

PRESENT

THE LORD MAYOR (Councillor Magid Magid)
THE DEPUTY LORD MAYOR (Councillor Tony Downing)

1	<i>Beauchief & Greenhill Ward</i> Simon Clement-Jones Bob Pullin Richard Shaw	10	<i>East Ecclesfield Ward</i> Andy Bainbridge Moya O'Rourke	19	<i>Nether Edge & Sharrow Ward</i> Jim Steinke Alison Teal
2	<i>Beighton Ward</i> Chris Rosling-Josephs Sophie Wilson	11	<i>Ecclesall Ward</i> Roger Davison Shaffaq Mohammed	20	<i>Park & Arbourthorne</i> Julie Dore Ben Miskell
3	<i>Birley Ward</i> Denise Fox Bryan Lodge Karen McGowan	12	<i>Firth Park Ward</i> Abdul Khayum Alan Law Abtisam Mohamed	21	<i>Richmond Ward</i> Mike Drabble Dianne Hurst Peter Rippon
4	<i>Broomhill & Sharrow Vale Ward</i> Michelle Cook Magid Magid Kaltum Rivers	13	<i>Fulwood Ward</i> Sue Alston Andrew Sangar Cliff Woodcraft	22	<i>Shiregreen & Brightside Ward</i> Dawn Dale Peter Price Garry Weatherall
5	<i>Burngreave Ward</i> Jackie Drayton Talib Hussain Mark Jones	14	<i>Gleadless Valley Ward</i> Lewis Dagnall Chris Peace	23	<i>Southey Ward</i> Mike Chaplin Jayne Dunn
6	<i>City Ward</i> Douglas Johnson Robert Murphy Martin Phipps	15	<i>Graves Park Ward</i> Ian Auckland Steve Ayriss	24	<i>Stannington Ward</i> David Baker Penny Baker Vickie Priestley
7	<i>Crookes & Crosspool Ward</i> Adam Hanrahan Mohammed Mahroof Anne Murphy	16	<i>Hillsborough Ward</i> Bob Johnson George Lindars-Hammond Josie Paszek	25	<i>Stocksbridge & Upper Don Ward</i> Jack Clarkson Francyne Johnson
8	<i>Darnall Ward</i> Mazher Iqbal Mary Lea Zahira Naz	17	<i>Manor Castle Ward</i> Lisa Banes Terry Fox Pat Midgley	26	<i>Walkley Ward</i> Olivia Blake Ben Curran Neale Gibson
9	<i>Dore & Totley Ward</i> Joe Otten Colin Ross Martin Smith	18	<i>Mosborough Ward</i> David Barker Tony Downing Gail Smith	27	<i>West Ecclesfield Ward</i> John Booker Adam Hurst Mike Levery
				28	<i>Woodhouse Ward</i> Mick Rooney Jackie Satur Paul Wood

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Sue Auckland, Tony Damms, Keith Davis, Mohammad Maroof, Cate McDonald, Ian Saunders, Jack Scott and Paul Scriven.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST OR INABILITY TO VOTE ON THE SETTING OF THE COUNCIL TAX CHARGE

- 2.1 There were no declarations of interest made by Members of the Council, and no Members declared an inability to vote on the setting of the Council Tax charge on the grounds of having Council Tax arrears.

3. SUSPENSION OF COUNCIL PROCEDURE RULES

- 3.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Dianne Hurst, seconded by Councillor David Baker, that, as regards item 7 on the agenda (Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/20) (item 8 of these minutes), and in accordance with Council Procedure Rules 4 (Suspension and Amendment of Council Procedure Rules) and 11 (Motions which may be moved without notice):-

(a) Council Procedure Rule 17.5 be suspended to remove the 2 minute time limit on the speeches of the movers and seconders of amendments and a new time limit be set whereby a total of 20 minutes will be shared by the mover and seconder of each amendment, and all other speakers shall have 2 minutes;

(b) Council Procedure Rule 17.6 be suspended to remove the 25 minute time limit for the item of business; and

(c) Council Procedure Rules 17.7(d) and 17.12(a) be suspended to remove the right of reply for the mover of the motion.

4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

4.1 Petitions

- 4.1.1 Petition Requesting that the Footpath from Fife Street to Jardine Street, Wincobank, be Brought into the Council's Maintenance Programme for the Benefit of Local Residents

The Council received a petition containing 119 signatures, requesting that the footpath from Fife Street to Jardine Street, Wincobank, be brought into the Council's Maintenance Programme for the benefit of local residents.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Ann Bentley who stated that the connecting street behind houses on Fife Street leading onto Jardine Street, should be maintained by the City Council and for the Council to

accept responsibility for the area and to ensure it was clean, safe and properly lit. The path was used daily by school children together with others who regularly used it. It had become a tipping area and the path was becoming blocked by trees, nettles and bushes and it was hoped that the Council would clean the area as it had become a serious problem.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Lewis Dagnall, Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport. Councillor Dagnall stated that matters raised in the petition would be followed up with the petitioners, Tenants and Residents Association and local councillors to see what could be done to address the concerns.

4.2 Petition Requesting the Council to Acknowledge Women's Concerns about Allowing People to Change their Legal Sex by Self-Identification

The Council received a joint electronic and paper petition containing 96 signatures, requesting the Council to acknowledge women's concerns about allowing people to change their legal sex by self-identification.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by a representative of the Women of Steel, who stated that many organisations which had previously been female only and buildings with single sex spaces were adopting a policy of self-identification, whereby anyone who said they were female could enter a female only space or use a female only service. However, there was concern about self-identification, particularly if it was introduced without safeguarding measures or discussion. Some places, including changing rooms, dormitories and single-sex prisons were single sex for a reason and self-identification would make it easier for predatory men to enter those spaces and would allow any man to state that he was female and enter a space.

The issue had been raised by women's groups, including a national group called a Woman's Place and which had been accused of hate and of being transphobic. However, she said that the evidence did not support those accusations and that transphobia was not related to the concerns now expressed concerning self-identification. People who had surgically transitioned from male to female had been welcomed in women's spaces for some time and they too needed protection from predatory men and many shared the views expressed in the petition.

She said that transphobia could also relate to hatred of people who refused to dress and act in a way that society might consider appropriate for their sex. She said that feminists challenged sexual stereotypes and it was not correct to accuse feminists of such transphobic views. The present system for legal gender recognition was, for many Transgender people, far from ideal and that change would need to come through knowledge and understanding and not from knee-jerk reaction and once misunderstandings were resolved, a way forward could be found.

In conclusion, it was stated that self-identification had implications for women and asked whether the Council would agree that the concerns were genuine and

should not be dismissed as a pretext for transphobia and would the Council consult with Women's and Trans groups before deciding any policy which affected the rights and safety of both communities.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Olivia Blake (Cabinet Member for Finance and Deputy Leader of the Council). Councillor Blake said that the Council had obligations under the Equalities Act 2010, which protected people against discrimination, harassment or victimisation across protected characteristics. Protected characteristics included sex and gender reassignment. There was also a public sector equality duty under that Act, requiring the Council to eliminate discrimination, promote equality and foster good relations between different groups.

The Council's approach was to be supportive of all Sheffield's diverse residents and it was aware of the matters raised in the petition and looked forward to working with partners in the City in relation to this issue. Whilst the complexities of this matter were recognised, there were also national Labour Party policies in this regard.

She said that she would be pleased to discuss the matters of concern with the petitioners but also commented that some of the statements in the petition and background information were quite difficult and challenging and she would like to also discuss those issues, as they might be seen to be wrong by other groups and mutual understanding was required and it was important to recognise that when things were seen by people to be transphobic, there was a reason for that.

4.1.3 Petition Requesting that Sheffield be made Carbon Neutral and that a Citizen's Assembly be held to inform Climate Change

The Council received a joint electronic and paper petition containing 857 signatures, requesting that Sheffield be made carbon neutral and that a citizen's assembly be held to inform climate change.

Representations on behalf of the petitioners were made by Dr Rebecca Atkinson, who congratulated the Council for its declaration of a climate emergency. She said it would not be possible to limit average global temperatures to 1.5 degrees above previous levels, unless immediate action was taken with regard to greenhouse emissions. A world more than 1.5 degrees warmer would have catastrophic consequences and would make the world a difficult place on which to live sooner than most people might think. She commented on a lack of leadership on this issue by central government and said it was time to look to local government to address the issue.

The petition asked for an immediate commitment to make Sheffield carbon neutral by 2030, which was the date, recognised by the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) report, by which industrialised nations should be carbon-zero. The petition also asked for a citizens' assembly to inform Council policy as it was important that citizens had a voice and which would empower local communities which might feel disengaged by politics and ignored. She referred to a need to act quickly and referred to comments on the

petition, which had gained over 800 signatures and had been hosted by her own business and five other businesses. She explained that businesses wished to play a role in helping to make Sheffield carbon neutral as soon as possible. Climate change was an issue which was very important to people and there should be action to become carbon neutral by 2030, regardless of any obstacles.

The Council referred the petition to Councillor Lewis Dagnall, Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport. Councillor Dagnall stated that it was important to maintain pressure on the Government to take action with regard to climate change, which was a priority. He said that many people in the City were concerned that the Council also played its part in tackling climate change. He proposed that the petition was taken, and petitioners were invited, to the next Green City Partnership Board, established with other institutions in the City which enabled the Council and major stakeholders to work out how Sheffield's climate change obligations could be met.

4.2 Public Questions

4.2.1 Public Question Concerning Memorial to Mi Amigo in Endcliffe Park

Mrs B Lascelles asked a question concerning the monument to the Mi Amigo and as to positioning of the flag pole at the memorial in Endcliffe Park and with regard to a flag and the key for the flagpole. She also commented on the dedication of Mr Tony Foulds in caring for the monument.

Councillor Mary Lea, the Cabinet Member for Culture, Parks and Leisure, referred to the 75 Anniversary event on 22 February 2019 marking the loss of the Mi Amigo and 10 US airmen which had been held at Endcliffe Park. She expressed gratitude to Mr Tony Foulds for looking after the monument. The Park was run by the Council and there was a great Friends Group, to which the Council was also grateful. The positioning of the flagpole as she understood it, was based on access, health and safety considerations and the best positioning so that a flag could be raised, as necessary.

The US forces had donated a flag to the City for use on the flagpole and they had stated that they would wish only for the flag to be used on the anniversary of the Mi Amigo crash and on the Sunday when the remembrance service was held. There was also an awareness that the US Forces took great pride in the flag and it had to be kept in a good condition. If it was flown at night time, it had to be illuminated, which was not something that could be done at present, so the flying of that flag everyday was not feasible and for those reasons.

Discussion had been held concerning the use of an alternative appropriate flag which could be flown every day and which had appropriate access and cleaning and could be attended to. At this time, it was not known exactly what flag would be used and as to the design of an appropriate flag for that site. This matter had been discussed with some of the people that supported Mr Foulds and she said this had also been relayed to him.

4.2.2 Public Question Concerning Self-Identification

Helen Cameron stated that despite self-identification not yet being law, it was permeating the culture and making it difficult to challenge men in women's spaces. She asked how the Council was working to implement safeguarding in relation to this matter.

Councillor Olivia Blake, the Deputy Leader of the Council and Cabinet Member for Finance, stated that she would be pleased to meet with Helen Cameron as regards concerns relating to this matter. She said that the Council took safeguarding extremely seriously and it was a serious area of work for which the Council was responsible. She believed it would be beneficial to discuss the concerns outlined in the question and said she would contact her.

4.2.3 Public Questions Concerning Streets Ahead Programme

Justin Buxton made reference to a question which he had asked at the meeting of Cabinet on 16 January 2019 as regards clarification as to whether the Council was committed to pay for the replacement of 17 and a half thousand street trees and in reference to service standard 6.38 of the Streets Ahead Contract, regardless of whether only 10 thousand trees were replaced by the end of the contract. He asked what mechanism would be used to compensate the Council if the smaller number of trees were replaced.

He made reference to an answer provided to him by the Cabinet Member and indicated that he was not satisfied with the answer. Mr Buxton asked for the question to be considered and answered again.

Justin Buxton asked who made the Leader of the Council aware of an investigation by the Forestry Commission and on what date.

Russell Johnson asked whether the Council was aware that according to Freedom of Information data from Amey Streets Ahead a large number of the saplings planted over the past few years had failed to meet the size specification set out in the contract. If the Council was not aware, why not and was this part of self-monitoring or lack of supervision; and if the Council was aware, what penalties and remedies were being sought.

Secondly, Mr Johnson asked whether Council imposed 'Roman' kerb standards trumped the saving of previously condemned healthy street trees. He said that the Cabinet Member had referred to the temporary relaxation of the imposition of complete and straight kerbs. He asked what was temporary and did that include the omission of kerbs and what amount of deviation was permitted.

Dave Dillner asked what the Leader of the Council's main objections were to the holding of a full independent inquiry into the way the Council had conducted itself throughout the STAG (Sheffield Trees Action Groups) and wider campaign to protect the City's environment.

Councillor Lewis Dagnall, the Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport, stated that he had nothing to add to his previous statements.

Councillor Julie Dore, the Leader of the Council, stated that, with regard to both of the questions which had been directed to her, she had answered the questions before and had nothing further to add.

5. REPRESENTATION, DELEGATED AUTHORITY AND RELATED ISSUES

5.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Dianne Hurst, that:-

(a) Councillor Andy Bainbridge be appointed to serve as the North Local Area Partnership Chair in place of Councillor Steve Wilson, with effect from 1st March 2019, and also to serve on the Local Area Partnership Chairs' Group and as the Local Area Partnership Lead Ward Member for East Ecclesfield Ward;

(b) Councillor Mazher Iqbal be appointed to replace Councillor Jack Scott on the Emergency Planning Shared Services Joint Committee; and

(c) it be noted that the Senior Officer Employment Sub-Committee, at its meeting held on 11th February 2019, appointed Dawn Shaw to the post of Director of Libraries, Learning, Skills and Communities in the People Services Portfolio, and that Ms Shaw started in post on 18th February 2019.

6. INTERIM POLLING DISTRICT AND POLLING PLACE REVIEW - SOUTHEY WARD

6.1 RESOLVED: On the Motion of Councillor Peter Rippon, seconded by Councillor Dianne Hurst, that approval be given for the creation of a new polling district and polling place boundary in Southey Ward, as set out in the report of the Returning Officer now submitted.

7. AMENDMENTS TO THE LEADER'S SCHEME OF DELEGATION OF EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS

7.1 The Council received a report of the Monitoring Officer providing details of amendments made by the Leader of the Council to her Scheme of Delegation of Executive Functions, effective from 8th and 20th February 2019.

7.2 RESOLVED: That the amendments made to the Leader's Scheme of Delegation of Executive Functions, as set out in the report and appendices now submitted, be noted.

8. REVENUE BUDGET AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2019/20

- 8.1 It was formally moved by Councillor Peter Rippon and formally seconded by Councillor Dianne Hurst, that the following recommendations made by the Cabinet at its meeting held on 13th February, 2019, arising from its consideration of reports of the Executive Director, Resources on the Revenue Budget 2019/20 and the Capital Programme 2019/20, be approved:-

REVENUE BUDGET 2019/20

“RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommends to the meeting of the City Council on 6 March 2019:-

- (a) to approve a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.291m;
- (b) to approve a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;
- (c) to approve the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined from paragraph 51 of the report, with effect from 1 April 2019;
- (d) to note that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003; and that further details can be found in Appendix 4 and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from paragraph 6 of the report;
- (e) to approve the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report;
- (f) to approve the revenue budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the report;
- (g) to note that, based on the estimated expenditure level set out in Appendix 3 to the report, the amounts shown in part B of Appendix 6 of the report would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (h) to note the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police & Crime Commissioner and of South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council’s area;
- (i) to approve the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 164;
- (j) to note the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;

- (k) to approve the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (l) to approve the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy set out in Appendix 7, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
- (m) to agree that authority be delegated to the Executive Director, Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (n) to note the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 to the report;
- (o) to approve a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the report; and
- (p) to agree that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20."

CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2019/20

"RESOLVED: That Cabinet recommends to the meeting of the City Council on 6 March 2019:-

- (a) to approve the contents of the Capital Strategy & Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24; that block allocations are included within the programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures; and
- (b) to approve the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the capital report."

8.2 Whereupon, it was moved by Councillor Olivia Blake, seconded by Councillor Julie Dore, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 13th February, 2019, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/20, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) places on record its thanks to the staff who continue to serve the Council in these incredibly difficult times, which year-on-year lead to uncertainty about their own futures and those of their colleagues, many of whom are left to pick up an

increased workload as a result of cuts to staffing numbers;

(2) as regards the national political context:-

1. notes that since 2010, the continued drive by Central Government to eliminate Revenue Support Grant (RSG) and other funding streams, has been so severe that the Council has to find £30 million in savings for the upcoming financial year, in addition to the £460 million of savings already made since 2010;
2. believes that the Rt. Hon. Theresa May MP's government is continuing with the same failed policies of the previous government and that the Government's claims that "austerity is over" are completely fictitious, as local government services continue to be cut to the bone;
3. notes that councils are bearing the brunt of an austerity programme in its ninth year; it is, as such, this Council's contention that the continuation of austerity is a political choice by the Government based on their ideological commitment to shrinking the state, rather than an economic imperative, a belief shared by the Liberal Democrats who went along with this when in coalition government;
4. believes that the Government has no sustainable plan for local government finance and that the proposed "fair funding" review is likely to make things even worse and could see the removal of deprivation from the funding formula; meaning that urban and poorer areas, such as Sheffield, are likely to see funding taken away to benefit rural shire counties, who are predominantly under Conservative control; and
5. believes that the Labour Party is right to call to an immediate end the unnecessary, cruel and deeply damaging austerity programme, and to instead give public services and local authorities the funding they so desperately need;

(3) notes the following regarding the local government budget challenge:-

1. that in addition to the cuts forced on local authorities, the increased demand for services at an increased cost is making it harder and harder for councils to balance their budgets and provide the desired services;
2. because of woefully inadequate central government funding, the Council is set to overspend by approximately £10 million, and the budget for 2019/20, therefore, includes for the first year a planned use for £11 million of reserves in order to sustain social care services in the face of rising demands and the only alternative would be to cut £11 million from social care, which this Administration does not believe to be acceptable;
3. that in addition to the increasing pressure on services, central government grants and funding are being reduced; together this has resulted in an increasing "budget gap", predicted to be £100 million by 2022/23 by the

Council's 2019 Medium Term Financial Analysis (MTFA); and

4. that despite these factors, the present Administration has protected front-line services as far as possible and succeeded in protecting services for the most vulnerable;
- (4) notes the following regarding social care:-
1. that social care for children and adults is now at "breaking point" nationwide, the result of nine years of cuts forced by Central Government;
 2. that national overspend in children's social care in 2017-18 (£816.5m) is the biggest in each of the last four years, according to the Local Government Chronicle's analysis of councils' projected and actual spends on services, a result of escalating demands for services;
 3. further, that it is estimated by the Local Government Association (LGA) that 88% of councils responsible for children's services overspent in 2017-18, denoting the scale of the challenge and how woefully inadequate central government funding has been;
 4. that the slashed budgets and increased costs in social care and children's services means that councils are, in the words of the LGA, "close to the edge", and that the LGA estimate that councils in England face an overall funding gap of £5.8 billion by 2020;
 5. that there is a wide disparity in the ability of local authorities to raise income from council tax increases; for instance, a 1% rise in Sheffield is worth £2.1 million – less than a 1% rise in Conservative-run Surrey (worth £7.0 million);
 6. that unlike last year, where a raise of 3% was permitted, there is no increase in the adult social care precept for 2019/20;
 7. believes that despite these great pressures, this Administration has worked hard to secure a better long-term future for social care in Sheffield;
 8. this Administration has allocated an additional £35 million of funding to fund the pressures within our social care services and protect the most vulnerable people in our communities, with the People Services Portfolio budget increasing from £198m in 2017/18 to £233m in 2019/20;
 9. notes that this additional funding has come from the planned use of reserves, savings from across the rest of the Council, and additional Council Tax income (with a rise of 2.99% on bills); and
 10. that the Administration's investment in children's social care will have a positive and lasting long-term impact as, for example, it is being spent on children's social workers, the Successful Families Initiative and the current

fostering campaign, all of which is aimed at providing the right preventative and support services to enable vulnerable children to be supported in the most effective environments;

(5) as regards Council services, notes and/or believes the following:-

1. that this Administration is enacting Labour values and showing real alternatives to the failed dogma of the Conservatives:-
 - (i) by bringing services back “in-house”; housing repairs, IT and Digital services, Human Resources and payroll, and setting up an in-house out-of-hours customer services telephone line;
 - (ii) we are now fully accredited as a Foundation Living Wage employer;
 - (iii) our ethical procurement strategy commits the Council to ensuring high standards of ethical practice in the way it trades, and works with partners, companies and inward investors across the city; and
 - (iv) pledged support to the TUC’s Great Jobs Agenda and ensured the Council signed up to the Co-Operative Party’s Charter against Modern Day Slavery;
2. that this Administration is improving the living standards for all by driving up growth in our local economy through capital investment – we are investing in exciting developments for the Heart of City II scheme, for Lower Don Valley flood defence works, on the Olympic Legacy Park, the Knowledge Gateway, Charter Square enabling works and Fitzalan Square;
3. that this Administration’s Housing Revenue Account (HRA) demonstrates its commitment to council housing, despite the challenging financial climate:-
 - (i) its commitment to provide 1,600 new homes, and acquiring new homes to substantially increase the number of homes and type of homes the city needs;
 - (ii) providing £400 million of investment over the next five years to make sure homes and estates are well maintained;
 - (iii) prioritised investment into fire safety work including cladding, sprinkler systems and other fire safety measures, and that work is already underway to fit all council tower blocks with sprinklers; and
 - (iv) that this Administration will continue to take a proactive approach to managing our neighbourhoods and supporting our tenants;
4. that the Administration has made provisions to protect those affected by

the Government's continued, and botched, rollout of Universal Credit and has given assurances that no tenant will be evicted for delays in payment that are solely a result of delayed payments in their Universal Credit;

5. that the Administration has maintained the council tax support scheme and increased the council tax hardship fund by £200,000 for 2019/20, meaning the Hardship Fund will total £1.4 million;
 6. that the Government's National Funding Formula implementation is being implemented too slowly for schools, and this will have a significant impact on Sheffield's primary schools, when what is really required is significant funding increases to all of our schools, with specific additional funding to schools most in need;
 7. that this Administration is ensuring sufficient school places for children is a key priority; with Sheffield families continuing to have a better chance than most of accessing one of their preferred schools – over 96% in this year's intake were able to get a school of their choice - and work is well underway to provide more capacity in a number of areas across the city;
 8. that the Administration is prioritising transport to deliver safe, well maintained streets which enable the city's on-going development and is exploring more segregated networks for public transport, walking, cycling and private cars to reduce conflict and accidents and promote transport speed, capacity and choice – it is this Administration's aim to deliver an integrated transport system, where different modes of transport complement each other;
 9. that this Administration is funding road safety to ensure that Sheffield is moving and freer from accidents and delays, with Sheffield's neighbourhoods safe and liveable through initiatives such as 20mph speed limits; and
 10. that through the policies of the Administration, Sheffield is showing locally what a future Labour government would look like;
- (6) notes the following regarding job losses:-
1. that as a result of severe budget cuts, there could be up to 136 redundancies during the financial year 2019/20;
 2. that this Administration, as in previous years, will take steps to minimise redundancies, such as offering voluntary severance and voluntary early retirement schemes, as well as using vacancies not yet filled; and
 3. that the Council expresses sincere and heartfelt sympathy to those members of staff who are losing their jobs through redundancy;
- (7) notes the following regarding the budget process:-

1. that thanks is given to the Council’s Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee and all of the Members involved in this crucial work; and
 2. that this year’s budget process involved a large consultation process, and the public is thanked for their involvement;
- (8) therefore requests the Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council’s Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/2020 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted;
- (9) approves the contents of the Capital Strategy and Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (10) approves the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the Capital Strategy report;
- (11) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2019/20, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.291m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, as follows:-

Appendix 3

2018/19	<u>Summary Revenue Budget</u>	2019/20
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
213,144	People	232,655
147,714	Place	145,422
1,973	Policy Performance and Communications	2,195
38,400	Resources (inc. Housing Benefit & Council Tax Collection)	39,748
401,231		420,020
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,437	PFI Grant	-74,437
-5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-5,961
-2,375	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-3,261
-5,870	Small Business Rates Relief	-6,841
-12,641	Improved Better Care Fund	-21,896
0	Retail Relief	-1,518
-1,700	Adult Social Care Grant (One-Off 2018/19)	0

Corporate Items		
5,500	Redundancy Provision	5,500
-13,507	Pension Costs	-13,507
5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	5,961
-1,138	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-1,138
3,000	Better Care Fund	3,000
4,990	Social Care Demand Contingency	3,290
4,000	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000
25,488	Schools and Howden PFI	25,702
900	Infrastructure Investment	900
17	Payment to Parish Councils	14
2,900	Other	4,600
Capital Financing Costs		
13,662	General Capital Financing Costs	13,662
0	Heart of the City 2	4,573
13,454	Streets Ahead Investment	13,092
18,993	MSF Capital Financing Costs	18,887
Reserves Movements		
-2,098	Contribution from Reserves	-13,268
21,917	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	21,917
402,286	Total Expenditure	403,291
Financing of Net Expenditure		
-52,390	Revenue Support Grant	-36,893
-99,508	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-99,341
-42,355	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-42,529
-190,803	Council Tax income	-201,090
-1,876	Collection Fund surplus	-8,200
-14,925	Social Care Precept	-15,238
-401,857	Total Financing	-403,291

- (12) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;
- (13) approves the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined in paragraphs 51 to 54 of the Revenue Budget report, with effect from 1st April 2019;
- (14) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from

- paragraphs 6 to 12 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (15) approves the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report on the Revenue Budget;
 - (16) approves the Revenue Budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the Revenue Budget report;
 - (17) notes the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;
 - (18) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
 - (19) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
 - (20) agrees that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
 - (21) notes the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 of the Revenue Budget report;
 - (22) approves a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report;
 - (23) agrees that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20;
 - (24) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 164 of the Revenue Budget report;
 - (25) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £535,435 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
 - (26) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
 - (27) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £403.291m set out in paragraph (11) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with

Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

CITY OF SHEFFIELD
CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2019/20 REVENUE
BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

1. It be noted that on 15th January 2019, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2019/20
 - (a) for the whole Council area as:
138,744.42 (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
 - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2019/20 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
£ 216,328,124
3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2019/20 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) **£ 1,388,369,435** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) **£ 1,171,505,876** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) **£ 216,863,559** being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
 - (d) **£ 1,563.0435** being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
 - (e) **£ 535,435** being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
 - (f) **£ 1,559.1844** being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing

the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
5. **£ 15,238,436** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2019/20 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95

Bradfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Bradfield Parish Council	27.93	32.59	37.25	41.90	51.21	60.52	69.84	83.80
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75

Ecclesfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Ecclesfield Parish Council	11.21	13.08	14.95	16.82	20.56	24.30	28.03	33.64
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Stocksbridge Town Council	21.91	25.56	29.21	32.86	40.16	47.46	54.77	65.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2019/20	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95
Bradfield Parish Council	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

Appendix 6c

Parish Council Precepts

2018/19						2019/20					
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,732.16	235,467	41,0782	6,403	241,870	5,757.78	241,250	41,8998	5,122	246,372	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,181.65	149,912	16,3274	6,426	156,338	9,238.98	155,374	16,8172	5,141	160,515	3.00%

Stocksbridge	3,749.60	119,618	31.9015	4,624	124,242	3,799.59	124,849	32.8586	3,699	128,548	3.00%
Total/ Average	18,663.41	504,997	27.0581	17,453	522,450	18,796.35	521,473	27.7433	13,962	535,435	2.53%

8.3 It was then moved by Councillor Simon Clement-Jones, seconded by Councillor Shaffaq Mohammed, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 13th February, 2019, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/20, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) would like to thank the staff who have been so helpful and accommodating during this budget setting process, and all of Sheffield City Council's staff who continue to work so hard for the people of Sheffield;
- (2) condemns the current Government's plan to restrict local government funding further until at least 2020, believing this to be above and beyond what is necessary, forcing Local Authorities to raise regressive Council Tax, instead of funding local government more fairly out of general taxation;
- (3) particularly condemns the current Government's approach to funding the NHS and Adult Social Care, and believes that a new long term funding settlement for social care is desperately needed to sustain vital services, particularly for places like Sheffield which had a relatively low council tax base but a high level of need;
- (4) believes that the Liberal Democrat proposal of raising income tax by a penny in the pound will meet this need for a long term funding settlement and greatly relieve the pressure on adult social care and the NHS;
- (5) believes that Sheffield City Council's financial situation is aggravated by the threatened impact of Brexit, particularly a 'no deal Brexit', on the capacity of both the local and national economy to generate the resources that our public services badly need;
- (6) believes that although the Council is facing financially difficult times, the current Administration has still had choices about where to spend our money, and have often made the wrong choices over the past 8 years, for example:-
 1. protecting tax payer subsidies for Trade Unions whilst slashing funding to local communities;
 2. continuing to spend vast amounts on Council spin doctors whilst cutting front line services; and
 3. spending millions on costly consultants whilst allowing important road safety schemes to be delayed;
- (7) further believes that this City still suffers from the poor choices made by

previous Labour Administrations, particularly the financial burden of around £19million every year until 2024 to repay the debt from the major sports facilities associated with the financially disastrous World Student Games, even after Don Valley Stadium has now been demolished;

- (8) is disappointed that the Sheffield City Region Devolution Deal has been delayed and, as a result, £60million of central government funding has already been held back for what will be two years when and if the deal is signed, at a time when the funding is needed most; and given the current stalemate, another £30million will go unspent for this financial year, making a total of £90million which has gone unspent in our region;
- (9) is deeply concerned for the future of the Devolution Deal and future associated funding and believes that this is due in part to the weak leadership of Sheffield;
- (10) notes that the One Yorkshire deal has been fully rejected by the Government and believes that leaders should now focus on getting the best out of the Sheffield City Region deal and use strong leadership to deliver the funding for our city;
- (11) believes that, even more concerning than some of their financial choices, is the way this Administration operates, centralising decision making and often ignoring the concerns and wishes of the people of Sheffield;
- (12) asserts that the purpose of this Council is to represent, work for and be the voice of the people of Sheffield, and that it is important that our decision making reflects the interests of the City as a whole and always puts people at the forefront of our choices;
- (13) further asserts that this could be better achieved by the Liberal Democrat policy of devolving local decision-making to give local areas as much control as possible over decisions that directly affect their communities;
- (14) notes the number of large petitions being presented to this Council, and believes this demonstrates that a change of approach is needed;
- (15) resolves to investigate a switch to a committee system which would give more control of decision making to all elected councillors and not just a selected few; allowing local communities a more direct path to decisions made about their futures;
- (16) understands the huge financial strain this Council has been put on by central government cuts to local government and that this was needed to reduce the deficit brought on by recession;
- (17) however, believes that there is a better, different way for a city council to operate and that this budget amendment demonstrates that it is possible, even in difficult times, to be responsive and work with local people;
- (18) believes that local Councillors and local people are often best placed to take

decisions over the things that affect them and their local areas and therefore wants to revolutionise how decisions are made in this Council, by devolving real budgets over to communities, to be spent on their priorities, not the Labour Party's;

- (19) by making some simple savings and spending the same money differently, the Liberal Democrat alternative budget would:-
1. invest more than £500k on increasing the size of the blue bin for the first 10% of households in Sheffield that request larger bins, so households are able to recycle cardboard and paper more easily;
 2. improve Sheffield's recycling record by committing more than £600k to increase the types of plastic that can be recycled here in our city from October 2019;
 3. invest more than £250k in reducing knife crime by recognising that knife crime is a public health issue and supporting community sport projects such as De Hood and Unity Gym who run vital projects that provide sports activities for young people and their local communities, plus allow similar groups to bid for parts of the fund;
 4. invest £1million in local and district shopping centres to support businesses outside the city centre, in Woodseats, Chapelton, Hillsborough and Woodhouse;
 5. recognise that walking and cycling is by far the best way to travel for the environment and for health of people in Sheffield and would invest £200k extra in major cycling schemes to encourage uptake of cycling and improve safety for cyclists on our roads;
 6. invest £1million in all road safety schemes; such as, traffic systems, pedestrian crossings and school crossing patrols, paying particular attention to where the community have made a call for a particular scheme in their area;
 7. clean up Sheffield by investing £150k in a task force to crack down on litter, fly tipping, graffiti and dog mess, and reversing a cut to this budget; this could also generate income for the Council by increasing the number of fines issued to people who do not respect our city;
 8. create a £50k fund available to local communities from a 'Greener Sheffield' pot, an additional pot of money to decide how best they would like to invest in their environment;
 9. commit more than £250k to keep all Sheffield City Council recycling centres open seven days a week from October 2019 to improve access for everyone;
 10. invest in a fund to provide schools with air quality monitors to educate

children on the importance of air quality and to monitor carbon levels around schools;

11. invest £50k for an extra member of staff in the Council's Private Sector Housing team to deal with some of the problems in the City's fast growing private rented housing sector;
12. support Sheffield's foster carers, who look after some of our city's most challenging and vulnerable children, and encourage more people to sign up to become foster families with a discretionary reimbursement of Council Tax; this has the potential to save the Council significant sums in reducing the amount spent on agency foster placements;
13. invest £50k in Sheffield Young Carers so they can provide their service users free bus travel across Sheffield;
14. investigate the possibility of a Sheffield City Council app, to make sure information about services is easier to access and all available in one place;
15. support Associate Libraries and their volunteers by providing £130k's worth of professional librarian support;
16. support Citizen's Advice Bureau with an increase of £50k in grant funding;
17. put aside extra funding for more school crossing wardens to help children get to school safely;
18. reinstate the snow warden scheme, giving local people the support to clear icy footpaths and roads in their local communities;
19. reverse the funding cut to parish councils, empowering local communities to make decisions on how money is spent in their area;
20. create a fund available to local councillors to use on projects and capital investments in their wards through boosting ward pots to £10,000 in every ward;
21. increase the neighbourhood portion of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) from 15% to 30% for use by local communities to spend money on infrastructure and fully compensate them for the disruption and pressures that come from big developments in their area;
22. use 10% of the unallocated CIL money to support small business infrastructure to allow the City's independent businesses to flourish by amending the Regulation 123 list which governs how CIL can be spent;
23. introduce free evening and Sunday parking in the city centre to encourage footfall and help city centre business to thrive; and

24. investigate the possibility of a “Sheffield Pound”, a local currency that would encourage spending on local business;
- (20) believes that the people of Sheffield deserve a City Council that provides good value for money for all residents of Sheffield, is open for business, is responsive to and works with the people of Sheffield and protects our natural environment and heritage which make our city such a great place to live;
- (21) therefore requests the Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council’s Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/2020 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

Revenue Budget Proposal

Financing and Savings Proposals	(£'000)	Spending Proposals	(£'000)
		Grants to De Hood and Unity Gym	100
		Grant for local sports clubs and community groups to bid on for sport activities	150
Allocate part of the New Homes Bonus	850	Invest in a fund to provide air monitors for schools	25
		An extra housing officer to support renters in the private sector	50
		Increased blue bin capacity collection - revenue costs of providing bigger blue bins to the first 10% of households who request them	280
Reduce posts within the Communications team	175	100% Council tax relief for Foster Carers (up to Band D)	240
		Free bus pass for members of Sheffield Young Carers	50
Withdraw funding from Sheffield City Partnership Board	10	Increase funding for the Environmental Enforcement team for a "Clean Up Sheffield" task force with target of 25% recovery via fines for littering,	150

		fly tipping, dog fouling and graffiti	
Delete 3 senior manager posts	100	Work with community groups to investigate possibility of a Sheffield Pound	21
Remove Leader's policy officer post	24	Investigate SCC app	10
Cut to taxpayer subsidy to trade unions	325	Invest in crossing patrols for schools which currently fall below threshold for wardens, and where road safety conditions allow	36
Cuts to Special Responsibility Allowances for cabinet advisors	54	Reinstate snow wardens	35
Reallocation of unutilised funds - Invest in Sheffield fund	430	Create a Greener Sheffield fund	50
		Reverse previous reductions in Parish Council Council Tax Support Grant	10
		Make city centre parking free on Sundays and evenings	242
		Reverse previous cut in fly tipping and graffiti contract budget	9
		Provide additional professional librarian support for associate libraries	130
		Increase grant to Citizen's Advice Bureau	50
		Additional spending on cycling schemes	200
Sub-total firm savings	1,968	Sub-total firm commitments	1,838

The following savings schemes require the agreement of new contracts, or actions to be agreed with other bodies. Consequently the following investments are proposed conditionally on the successful implementation of these schemes.

Set a modest savings target for shared services with other Local Authorities in Sheffield City Region	100	Increase the types of plastic that can be recycled in Sheffield - eg yoghurt pots, carrier bags, margarine tubs, food trays and bottle tops (half year)	660
Pay review - not taking the 2% pay increase for staff on salaries above £60k	75	Keep recycling centres open seven days a week (half year)	265
No pay increments for 2019/20 (part-year effect)	950	Additional spending on road safety schemes to be allocated over the year	330
Sub-total conditional savings	1,125	Sub-total conditional commitments	1,255

Savings total	3,093	Investments - total	3,093
----------------------	--------------	----------------------------	--------------

Capital Budget Proposal

Financing of Capital Proposals	(£'000)	Capital Spending Proposals	(£'000)
Financed from unallocated new homes bonus	670	Road Safety	670
Reduce unallocated City Wide CIL money and use to top up ward pots	78	Top up Ward pots to £10,000 across the city as a minimum	78
Financed from unallocated new homes bonus	580	Provide bigger blue bins to the first 10% of households that request them	580
Financed from unallocated New Homes Bonus	1000	Local and District Centres - Woodseats, Chapelton, Hillsborough and Woodhouse	1000
Devote 10% of City Wide CIL on an ongoing basis, funds available currently	cost neutral	Small business infrastructure, funded from 10% of CIL	cost neutral
Devote 30% of CIL to the neighbourhood portion on an ongoing basis	cost neutral	Increase neighbourhood portion of CIL from 15% to 30%	cost neutral
Financing of capital proposals total	2,328	Capital spending total	2,328

Local Transport Plan budget proposal

Financing of Capital Proposals	(£'000)	Capital Spending Proposal	(£'000)
Re-allocate decision making over £2.4 million of transport funding away from Cabinet Member to local communities to be allocated via the LAP	Cost neutral	Nil	Cost neutral
Financing LTP proposals total	0	LTP spending total	0

- (22) approves the contents of the Capital Strategy and Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (21) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (23) approves the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the Capital Strategy report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (21) above;
- (24) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2019/20, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.721m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (21) above, as follows:-

Appendix 3

2018/19	<u>Summary Revenue Budget</u>	2019/20
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
213,144	People	233,386
147,714	Place	147,714
1,973	Policy Performance and Communications	1,999
38,400	Resources (inc. Housing Benefit & Council Tax Collection)	38,191
401,231		421,290
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,437	PFI Grant	-74,437
-5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-5,961

-2,375	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-3,261
-5,870	Small Business Rates Relief	-6,841
-12,641	Improved Better Care Fund	-21,896
0	Retail Relief	-1,518
-1,700	Adult Social Care Grant (One-Off 2018/19)	0
	Corporate Items	
5,500	Redundancy Provision	5,500
-13,507	Pension Costs	-13,507
5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	5,961
-1,138	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-1,138
3,000	Better Care Fund	3,000
4,990	Social Care Demand Contingency	3,290
4,000	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000
25,488	Schools and Howden PFI	25,702
900	Infrastructure Investment	900
17	Payment to Parish Councils	24
0	Revenue Contribution to Capital	2,250
2,900	Other	4,600
	Capital Financing Costs	
13,662	General Capital Financing Costs	13,662
0	Heart of the City 2	4,573
13,454	Streets Ahead Investment	13,092
18,993	MSF Capital Financing Costs	18,887
	Reserves Movements	
-2,098	Contribution from Reserves	-16,368
21,917	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	21,917
402,286	Total Expenditure	403,721
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-52,390	Revenue Support Grant	-36,893
-99,508	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-99,771
-42,355	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-42,529
-190,803	Council Tax income	-201,090
-1,876	Collection Fund surplus	-8,200
-14,925	Social Care Precept	-15,238
-401,857	Total Financing	-403,721

(25) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;

(26) approves the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined in paragraphs 51 to 54 of the Revenue Budget report, with effect

from 1st April 2019;

- (27) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from paragraphs 6 to 12 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (28) approves the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report on the Revenue Budget, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (21) above;
- (29) approves the Revenue Budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (21) above;
- (30) notes the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;
- (31) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (32) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
- (33) agrees that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (34) notes the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (35) approves a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (21) above relating to salary reductions;
- (36) agrees that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (21) above relating to Special Responsibility Allowances cuts;
- (37) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 164 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (21) above relating to the Parish Council Tax Support Grant, also referred to in Appendix 6c below;

- (38) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £545,435 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (39) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (40) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £403.721m, set out in paragraph (24) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

CITY OF SHEFFIELD
CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2019/20 REVENUE
BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

1. It be noted that on 15th January 2019, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2019/20
 - (a) for the whole Council area as:
138,744.42 (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
 - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2019/20 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
£ 216,328,124
3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2019/20 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) **£ 1,388,809,435** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) **£ 1,171,935,876** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) **£ 216,873,559** being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of

the Act).

- (d) **£ 1,563.1156** being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
- (e) **£ 545,435** being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
- (f) **£ 1,559.1844** being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
5. **£ 15,238,436** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2019/20 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95

Bradfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Bradfield Parish Council	27.93	32.59	37.25	41.90	51.21	60.52	69.84	83.80
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75

Ecclesfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Ecclesfield Parish Council	11.21	13.08	14.95	16.82	20.56	24.30	28.03	33.64
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Stocksbridge Town Council	21.91	25.56	29.21	32.86	40.16	47.46	54.77	65.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2019/20	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95
Bradfield Parish Council	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

Parish Council Precepts

2018/19						2019/20					
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,732.16	235,467	41.0782	6,403	241,870	5,757.78	241,250	41.8998	8,792	250,042	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,181.65	149,912	16.3274	6,426	156,338	9,238.98	155,374	16.8172	8,823	164,197	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,749.60	119,618	31.9015	4,624	124,242	3,799.59	124,849	32.8586	6,347	131,196	3.00%
Total/Average	18,663.41	504,997	27.0581	17,453	522,450	18,796.35	521,473	27.7433	23,962	545,435	2.53%

8.4 It was then moved by Councillor Rob Murphy, seconded by Councillor Douglas Johnson, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 13th February, 2019, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/20, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) continues to condemn the cuts to local authority funding, which is a political choice by central government, and applauds the efforts of politicians and campaigners calling for an alternative to this policy;
- (2) notes that Sheffield's citizens have suffered through continual cuts to council funding - and consequently to services to the public;
- (3) believes that central Government has utterly failed to address the growing and substantial crisis in the care of older and disabled people and that, even passing on extra costs through council tax increases, the sum raised is still wholly inadequate to meet the cost of social care;
- (4) notes, however, that long-term, outsourced contracts with big private businesses have not taken an equivalent share of the cuts; that long-term costs of finance stretch into Sheffield's future until 2057, and that, this year, the waste management contract is set to be extended by a further two years;
- (5) therefore recognises that austerity is not going to go away and that Elected Members in Sheffield, however difficult the crisis we face, have a responsibility to do the best we can for the people of Sheffield, prioritising the available resources to protect communities and the most vulnerable and working towards a more equitable and resilient city;
- (6) accordingly, thanks the officers of the Council, voluntary and private sectors directly affected by the austerity programme, in the way they have responded to the increasing cuts and made sacrifices;

- (7) recognises the number of people in serious financial hardship and therefore welcomes the further increase in the Council Tax hardship fund, as proposed in each of the Green Party Councillors' budget proposals in recent years, to protect more of the 30,000 poorest families in the city;
- (8) recognises the particular difficulties faced by young people leaving care setting up their own homes for the first time and will therefore set aside funding to meet council tax bills paid until the age of 25;
- (9) recognises the importance to the community of small-scale spending at local level and will therefore increase 'ward pot' budgets for the forthcoming year;
- (10) will support the voluntary sector by reversing the Administration's previously agreed funding cuts to advice centres, thus protecting jobs and helping people facing the appalling consequences of Universal Credit;
- (11) recognises the ongoing value of the city's library service and rewards the hard work of volunteers who have supported their local branch libraries by providing funding for a paid, professional librarian in every branch library;
- (12) believes that the people of this city want a Council that listens to them and takes their genuinely-expressed concerns into account but is also accountable to them;
- (13) looks forward to webcasting of Council meetings, first proposed by Green Party councillors in 2012, but will go further towards modern standards of openness by extending the proposed webcasting to the full range of Cabinet, Scrutiny, Planning, Licensing, Health & Wellbeing, and Audit and Standards Committee meetings;
- (14) will cut political spin from the Town Hall and will remove the posts of Group Policy Officers from the Council payroll, requiring politicians to do their own research and press work;
- (15) notes that Green councillors first proposed a Living Wage in 2008 to address low pay and will now tackle the principle of income inequality head-on by reducing the pay of senior officers on salaries over £50,000 a year, narrowing the gap between the highest and lowest paid in the Council to within a 10:1 ratio;
- (16) will further reduce four posts in the HR function to protect frontline services;
- (17) regrets the lost opportunity of jobs in the renewable energy industry and thousands of cheaper energy bills for council tenants when proposed by Green Councillors in 2014; but will still promote energy efficiency schemes in maintained schools by using £500,000 of unallocated Community Infrastructure Levy receipts;
- (18) will go further and set aside £1 million from the budget for demolishing garages to introduce solar panels on council housing, to generate energy and to reduce tenants' bills;

- (19) will promote the city's economic opportunity of specialist tourism by engaging two part-time ecologists to market and lead specialist nature tours on Sheffield's nearby and unique moorland, thereby generating further income for the Council and for the city's economy;
- (20) will take steps to increase the potential for more affordable housing in the city by funding a pilot project of a small number of energy-efficient "container homes", such as those already being pioneered at Heeley City Farm;
- (21) will create an additional officer post to bring empty homes back into use in order to tackle the blight and waste of empty houses, increase housing supply with far less energy consumption than new building, and generate additional revenue through council tax and New Homes Bonus;
- (22) will fund an additional post to help tenants and take enforcement action on substandard private rented housing;
- (23) will earmark £1,000,000 of Local Transport Plan funding for active travel schemes, including providing cyclists with the protection of segregated cycle lanes, thereby improving the numbers of Sheffield citizens cycling to work and contributing towards improved physical and mental health;
- (24) will recognise the failure to build this city's cycling infrastructure and will therefore appoint a cycling officer to promote the ability of Sheffield residents to choose this form of transport;
- (25) further, will ensure that all future Local Transport Plan spending is used only on sustainable modes of transport that do not have any adverse impact on air quality;
- (26) believes the public is becoming more aware and concerned at the dangers of illegal levels of air pollution and will therefore invest in public-facing visual displays on the city's air quality monitoring stations, to ensure the public can see and monitor the measure of air pollution affecting them in real time;
- (27) will stop reimbursing car parking claims for Elected Members who do not have a blue badge;
- (28) will develop proposals to introduce a workplace parking scheme, to improve air quality and generate additional long-term revenue to invest in the city's public transport;
- (29) will reduce the price of residents' parking permits to 2010 levels and dissuade commuters from driving into the city centre by increasing on-street parking by 40p, meaning that people living in some of the most congested and polluted areas of the city are not subsidising other transport services;
- (30) will re-introduce the FreeBee bus service, with a low-emission, circulating bus in the city centre;

- (31) will fund a feasibility study on opening the Sheffield to Stocksbridge train line to passenger traffic, in conjunction with local community groups;
- (32) will promote health, activity and fun by funding outdoor gym equipment in the city's parks;
- (33) will commemorate the very origins of a municipal authority for public health by providing the public with clean, fresh water through the provision of new drinking fountains in major parks, thereby reducing waste and litter from single-use disposable plastics;
- (34) will also invest in planting trees bearing edible fruit in open spaces and parks, to be decided and distributed fairly on a Ward basis;
- (35) will employ a sustainability officer to support businesses and householders to make helpful carbon-reducing changes in response to the climate emergency;
- (36) will fund an extra four Police Community Safety Officers (PCSOs) and employ four Safer Neighbourhoods Officers to make people feel safer;
- (37) will recognise the importance, both culturally and economically, of the city's heritage and will provide funding for a dedicated heritage officer;
- (38) asserts that in a properly regulated planning system, it is essential that developers comply with planning conditions and will therefore fund an additional planning enforcement officer to maintain high standards;
- (39) will maximise the expertise of our planning officers and bring fees for pre-application planning advice for larger property developers into line with other major cities;
- (40) notes the hugely damaging impact on children, their families and communities by school exclusions and will therefore invest in a new post to develop the building of mental resilience in Year 6 children;
- (41) will reverse this Administration's proposed reduction in funding for people with learning disabilities by maintaining funding for respite through short breaks;
- (42) recognises the hard work of Sheffield citizens who have highlighted the economic risks attached to fossil fuels and the need for Sheffield City Council to do business ethically; and welcomes the inclusion, in its Treasury Management Strategy, of commitments not to hold any direct investments in fossil fuels or companies involved in tax evasion or grave misconduct;
- (43) approves the updating of the statutory Regulation 123 list to include the spending of unallocated Community Infrastructure Levy within the limits set out above;
- (44) therefore requests the Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/2020 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital

Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

Revenue Budget Proposals

Savings proposals	2019/20 (£'000)	Spending proposals	2019/20 (£'000)
Remove Group Policy Officers (half year saving)	74	Provide Young Care Leavers with a fund to cover Council Tax bills	30
Reduce pay on employees paid over £150,000 by 20% (assume 6 month saving)	44	Webcasting full range of Council Meetings	23
Reduce pay on employees paid over £100,000 by 15% (assume 6 month saving)	67	Reverse prior year funding cuts to voluntary sector for advice work	50
Reduce pay on employees paid over £50,000 by 10% (assume 6 month saving)	710	Increase Ward pot funding	50
Remove 4 further posts in HR (assume half-year saving)	97	Staff for branch libraries (based on 16 P/T members of staff)	328
Additional 40p on-street parking charge	738	Additional Empty Homes Officer to bring empty properties back into use	39
Increase fees for pre-application planning advice for larger property developers	73	Additional post in Private Sector Housing	28
Remove Elected Members' car parking claims	2	Mental health development in schools	46
Use of NHB for one-off costs	338	Sustainability officer	46
		Cycling officer	45
		Four extra PCSOs	135

Four Safer Neighbourhoods officers	137
Heritage officer	45
Planning enforcement officer	41
Ecology officers to promote nature tourism (2 half-time posts)	46
Reverse cuts to short breaks	19
Drinking Fountains for City Centre - Maintenance	8
Reduce Parking Permit Fees to 2010 levels	298
Revenue costs for outdoor gym	4
Workplace Parking Levy Study	25
Re-introduce the FreeBee Bus - low emission vehicle	600
Set up a Feasibility study into opening a Sheffield to Stocksbridge train line in conjunction with local community groups	100

Revenue Savings total	2,143	Revenue Spending total	2,143
------------------------------	--------------	-------------------------------	--------------

Capital Budget Proposals

Financing of capital proposals	2019/20 (£'000)	Capital spending proposals	2019/20 (£'000)
Use of unallocated CIL to establish fund for energy efficiency schemes in	500	Energy efficiency for schools fund	500

schools

Reduce the Garage Improvements Budget from £1.2m to £0.2m for essential works	1000	Introduce further Solar Panels on the HRA Estate	1000
Reprioritise funding for acquiring new council homes	250	Fund to establish low-energy "container homes" pilot	250
Use of unallocated Local Transport Plan spending	1000	Improved transport infrastructure, including segregated cycle lanes	1000
Use of unallocated Local Transport Plan spending	54	Air Quality Monitoring Digital Displays	54
Use of unallocated CIL	164	Outdoor gym equipment in parks	40
		Provision of fruit trees on a Ward basis	100
		Drinking Fountains for City Centre & parks	24
Use of unallocated New Homes Bonus	10	Webcasting Additional Council Meetings - Equipment	10
Financing of capital proposals total	2,978	Capital spending total	2,978

- (45) approves the contents of the Capital Strategy and Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (44) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (46) approves the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the Capital Strategy report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (44) above;
- (47) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2019/20, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.291m, as set out in

Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (44) above, as follows:-

		Appendix 3
2018/19	<u>Summary Revenue Budget</u>	2019/20
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
213,144	People	232,836
147,714	Place	146,008
1,973	Policy Performance and Communications	2,109
38,400	Resources (inc. Housing Benefit & Council Tax Collection)	39,405
401,231		420,358
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,437	PFI Grant	-74,437
-5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-5,961
-2,375	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-3,261
-5,870	Small Business Rates Relief	-6,841
-12,641	Improved Better Care Fund	-21,896
0	Retail Relief	-1,518
-1,700	Adult Social Care Grant (One-Off 2018/19)	0
	Corporate Items	
5,500	Redundancy Provision	5,500
-13,507	Pension Costs	-13,507
5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	5,961
-1,138	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-1,138
3,000	Better Care Fund	3,000
4,990	Social Care Demand Contingency	3,290
4,000	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000
25,488	Schools and Howden PFI	25,702
900	Infrastructure Investment	900
0	Revenue Contribution to Capital (GIF)	10
17	Payment to Parish Councils	14
2,900	Other	4,600
	Capital Financing Costs	
13,662	General Capital Financing Costs	13,662
0	Heart of the City 2	4,573
13,454	Streets Ahead Investment	13,092
18,993	MSF Capital Financing Costs	18,887
	Reserves Movements	
-2,098	Contribution from Reserves	-13,616
21,917	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early	21,917

	Payment	
402,286	Total Expenditure	403,291
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-52,390	Revenue Support Grant	-36,893
-99,508	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-99,341
-42,355	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-42,529
-190,803	Council Tax income	-201,090
-1,876	Collection Fund surplus	-8,200
-14,925	Social Care Precept	-15,238
-401,857	Total Financing	-403,291

- (48) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;
- (49) approves the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined in paragraphs 51 to 54 of the Revenue Budget report, with effect from 1st April 2019;
- (50) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from paragraphs 6 to 12 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (51) approves the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report on the Revenue Budget, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (44) above;
- (52) approves the Revenue Budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (44) above;
- (53) notes the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;
- (54) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (55) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
- (56) agrees that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of

Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;

- (57) notes the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (58) approves a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (44) above relating to salary reductions;
- (59) agrees that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20;
- (60) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 164 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (61) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £535,435 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (62) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (63) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £403.291m set out in paragraph (47) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

CITY OF SHEFFIELD
CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2019/20 REVENUE
BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

1. It be noted that on 15th January 2019, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2019/20
 - (a) for the whole Council area as:
138,744.42 (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
 - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.

2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2019/20 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
£ 216,328,124
3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2019/20 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) **£ 1,388,369,435** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) **£ 1,171,505,876** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) **£ 216,863,559** being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
 - (d) **£ 1,563.0435** being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
 - (e) **£ 535,435** being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
 - (f) **£ 1,559.1844** being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
5. **£ 15,238,436** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2019/20 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95

Bradfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Bradfield Parish Council	27.93	32.59	37.25	41.90	51.21	60.52	69.84	83.80
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75

Ecclesfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Ecclesfield Parish Council	11.21	13.08	14.95	16.82	20.56	24.30	28.03	33.64
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Stocksbridge Town Council	21.91	25.56	29.21	32.86	40.16	47.46	54.77	65.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2019/20	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95
Bradfield Parish Council	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

Appendix 6c

Parish Council Precepts

Parish Council	2018/19					2019/20					
	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,732.16	235,467	41,0782	6,403	241,870	5,757.78	241,250	41,8998	5,122	246,372	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,181.65	149,912	16,3274	6,426	156,338	9,238.98	155,374	16,8172	5,141	160,515	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,749.60	119,618	31,9015	4,624	124,242	3,799.59	124,849	32,8586	3,699	128,548	3.00%
Total/Average	18,663.41	504,997	27,0581	17,453	522,450	18,796.35	521,473	27,7433	13,962	535,435	2.53%

8.5 It was then moved by Councillor Jack Clarkson, seconded by Councillor John Booker, as an amendment, that the recommendations of the Cabinet held on 13th February, 2019, as relates to the City Council's Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/20, be replaced by the following resolution:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) states the welfare of the people should be the overriding concern of any government and council, but once again notes a high level of council tax increase imposed by this Council on Sheffield residents, and believes that the

severe cuts in the Revenue Support Grant over many years are partially to blame, but that the Administration's flawed policy of outsourcing to multinational companies, some of who have been fined in the past for fraudulent behaviour, has caused a terrible strain on the city's finances, and that these companies and their shareholders are being empowered and enriched by Sheffield City Council;

- (2) believes that the mismanagement of this country's finances by consecutive governments over the last twenty years has caused the working poor and their families to come under sustained attack, and they are losing the battle; the austerity policies perpetrated by the current and previous governments are creating cuts that are unnecessary, unacceptable and irresponsible, and as a result, the Government is shifting its debt onto the poorest in society, creating more hardship, while reducing state services to the neediest in our society; and also notes that this burden is not shared equally throughout the country, as the more prosperous southern-shire counties are suffering far less than the northern towns and cities;
- (3) once again notes the total Quantitative Easing Package in the UK is £450 billion (printed money), causing long term inflation, and that this money is directed to the financial markets, allowing the central bank to buy government bonds; and believes that this money would do more good going into the real economy, allowing business and the public more money to invest and spend, whereas instead we have the familiar theme of the rich getting richer and the poor getting poorer;
- (4) (a) believes money should be spent to benefit those in society who genuinely need it, and that we must invest in social care, the NHS, education, rough sleepers and the homeless, help fight drug addiction and alcohol-related problems, improve prisons, schools, care homes, and invest in long-term plans to re-nationalise the railways and public transport, (b) contends that the benefits of boxing, sports clubs, community hubs and projects are tremendously important to help promote physical health, mental health and well-being, and anyone involved in boxing training knows of its benefits; it's not about teaching those involved to box or spar, but to promote discipline, self-control, self-defence, confidence and self-belief, (c) notes that UKIP believe the setting up of these clubs is vital to deal with today's issues, and the Party aims to provide a fair and equal service and opportunity to all, regardless of background and free of discrimination, and believes this will be a force for good in local communities, promoting exercise, fitness and diet which are very important in today's society and (d) further believes that with only around 20% of children meeting physical activity guidelines (Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet-NHS-2018), there is a clear need for more physical activity, and that these figures also positively correlate with levels of deprivation, further demonstrating the importance of these local projects to the community;
- (5) (a) also believes fly-tipping is an issue of great concern to many residents of Sheffield and that a pro-active approach to this persistent problem is desperately needed; we need to change the mind-set of people who think it is acceptable to dump their rubbish around the city, and we must deter this

disgraceful practice and provide solutions for rubbish disposal, (b) further believes that the strategic placing of cameras in fly-tipping hot spots would provide a deterrent in some instances, and therefore proposes the funding of a new commercial waste disposal scheme at household waste recycling centres for a one year trial period, where tradespersons would be charged a £30 fee to empty a transit-sized van/trailer, and opening hours would be increased at all sites to accommodate the new scheme; this would make it easier to dispose of non-hazardous trade waste and reduce instances of fly-tipping and (c) also proposes a "Bring Out Your Rubbish Day" where four 12 yard skips will be placed in all 28 wards for one day, three times a year; this will allow residents to dispose of their waste without having to travel too far;

- (6) is still concerned by what it believes to be exorbitant prices charged for out-sourced work under the PFI and SPPC, and believes that the taxpayers of Sheffield would be better served by bringing more of these services back in-house;
- (7) (a) recognises that the real judgement of a civilised society is how it treats its most vulnerable citizens; giving human beings shelter should not be optional, and politics aside, it is the duty of elected representatives to give shelter to those who need it, (b) believes that the problems of rough sleepers will not be solved by the introduction of a "night shelter", but it will ease suffering and bring much needed respite to these medieval problems that still blight the 21st century and (c) for this reason, proposes to conduct a feasibility study into the benefits of such a shelter and how it might be best targeted towards those most vulnerable to rough sleeping;
- (8) (a) firmly believes that people must feel safe in their homes and communities, and therefore supports and will further promote the return to community policing, (b) believes we need more uniformed officers on our streets to bring security and confidence, and that uniformed officers are a deterrent, the larger the number, the greater the deterrent and (c) further believes that the epidemic of political correctness must be reined in; police officers should enforce the law uniformly and protect the public from criminal activity wherever it arises, in the hope of bringing local residents some respite from crime;
- (9) (a) notes that South Yorkshire Passenger Transport Executive uses Global Positioning System (GPS) technology and software, etc., to tell passengers where the bus is and inform the person at the bus stop how long they have to endure their wait there, (b) believes this Council should introduce a similar system to bin lorries, whereby customers could register their mobile phone with the advertised SCC number, and on bin collection day they would receive a text stating "your bin lorry is ten minutes away, please put your bin out for collection, today is green/black/blue/brown bin" and (c) recognises that many people forget to put their bin out for collection, a black bin full of domestic waste that is not emptied on collection day will have waste up to a month old by the time of the next collection; and in summer months especially, this would be detrimental to public health; and also believes that this would alleviate the amount of litter strewn around our streets resulting from bins being put out prematurely in inclement weather conditions;

- (10) therefore requests the Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council’s Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/2020 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted, but with the following amendments:-

REVENUE BUDGET PROPOSALS

Savings proposals	2019/20	Spending proposals	2019/20
<i>Reductions in spending:</i>	£000	<i>Addition to budget:</i>	£000
Forego 2% uplift to Members' Allowances	28	1 additional enforcement & education officer post with communications budget to target areas prone to fly-tipping	74
Reduce Members' Basic Allowances by 5%	49	Cost of monitoring CCTV cameras installed to monitor fly-tipping	8
Reduce Members' Special Responsibility Allowances (SRAs) by 10%, and scrap SRAs for Cabinet Advisors	63	Bring out your rubbish day style event - 4 skips x all wards x 3 times a year	87
Pest Control service to become fully self-financing	155	Establish fund to support community and voluntary sector bodies wishing to run pop-up gyms	30
Introduce charging policy for non-statutory translation & interpreting services to generate 10% saving	30	Increase capacity of accommodation for rough sleepers via existing contract (20 extra units per week)	50
Reduce pay on employees paid over £100,000 by 10% (assume 6 month saving)	67	Feasibility study to assess benefits of a night shelter/cafe targeting rough sleepers and those at risk of rough sleeping	25
Use of New Homes Bonus	262	Establish fund for Community Murals to be painted around the City to be used where graffiti is a problem	30
		Apply free Parking in city centre off-street parking lots for the first 20 minutes of a stay	350

Savings – sub-total	654	Spending – sub-total	654
The following savings schemes require the agreement of new contracts, or actions to be agreed with other bodies. Consequently the following investments are proposed conditionally on the successful implementation of these savings schemes.			
Income from charging £30 for commercial waste disposal at household waste recycling centres	359	Increase opening hours to 7 days a week at all household waste recycling centres to accommodate new commercial waste disposal scheme, and increase in costs relating to new waste stream	1,088
Use of New Homes Bonus to subsidise the new service regarding commercial waste disposal	949	Introduction of a 'Collection Time' App for bin lorries	55
		Provide funding to increase the number of PCSO's	165
Savings – sub-total	1,308	Spending – sub-total	1,308
Revenue saving total	1,962	Revenue spending total	1,962

CAPITAL BUDGET PROPOSALS

Financing of capital proposals	2019/20	Capital spending proposals	2019/20
Use of New Homes Bonus	£000 490	Upgrade all household waste recycling centres to prepare for commercial waste scheme	£000 30
		Increase use of CCTV in areas prone to fly tipping	50
		Introduction of a 'Collection Time' App for bin lorries	160
		Build a Boxing Gym at Thorncliffe Community Sports Bar or a suitable alternative location	250
Financing of capital proposals total	490	Capital spending total	490

- (11) approves the contents of the Capital Strategy and Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (10) above, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (12) approves the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the Capital Strategy report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (10) above;
- (13) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2019/20, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.291m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, and subsequently amended in the light of paragraph (10) above, as follows:-

Appendix 3

2018/19	<u>Summary Revenue Budget</u>	2019/20
£000		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
213,144	People	232,662
147,714	Place	146,825
1,973	Policy Performance and Communications	2,175
38,400	Resources (inc. Housing Benefit & Council Tax Collection)	39,569
401,231		421,231
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,437	PFI Grant	-74,437
-5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-5,961
-2,375	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-3,261
-5,870	Small Business Rates Relief	-6,841
-12,641	Improved Better Care Fund	-21,896
0	Retail Relief	-1,518
-1,700	Adult Social Care Grant (One-Off 2018/19)	0
	Corporate Items	
5,500	Redundancy Provision	5,500
-13,507	Pension Costs	-13,507
5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	5,961
-1,138	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-1,138
3,000	Better Care Fund	3,000
4,990	Social Care Demand Contingency	3,290
4,000	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000

25,488	Schools and Howden PFI	25,702
0	Revenue Contribution to Capital	490
900	Infrastructure Investment	900
17	Payment to Parish Councils	14
2,900	Other	4,600
Capital Financing Costs		
13,662	General Capital Financing Costs	13,662
0	Heart of the City 2	4,573
13,454	Streets Ahead Investment	13,092
18,993	MSF Capital Financing Costs	18,887
Reserves Movements		
-2,098	Contribution from Reserves	-14,969
21,917	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	21,917
<u>402,286</u>	Total Expenditure	<u>403,291</u>
Financing of Net Expenditure		
-52,390	Revenue Support Grant	-36,893
-99,508	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-99,341
-42,355	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-42,529
-190,803	Council Tax income	-201,090
-1,876	Collection Fund surplus	-8,200
-14,925	Social Care Precept	-15,238
<u>-401,857</u>	Total Financing	<u>-403,291</u>

- (14) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;
- (15) approves the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined in paragraphs 51 to 54 of the Revenue Budget report, with effect from 1st April 2019;
- (16) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from paragraphs 6 to 12 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (17) approves the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report on the Revenue Budget subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (10) above;
- (18) approves the Revenue Budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendments outlined in paragraph (10) above;

- (19) notes the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;
- (20) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (21) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
- (22) agrees that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (23) notes the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (24) approves a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (10) above relating to salary reductions;
- (25) agrees that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20, subject to the amendment outlined in paragraph (10) above relating to Members' Allowances reductions;
- (26) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below paragraph 164 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (27) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £535,435 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (28) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (29) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £403.291m set out in paragraph (13) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

CITY OF SHEFFIELD
CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2019/20 REVENUE
BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

1. It be noted that on 15th January 2019, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2019/20
 - (a) for the whole Council area as:
138,744.42 (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
 - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2019/20 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
£ 216,328,124
3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2019/20 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) **£ 1,388,369,435** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) **£ 1,171,505,876** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) **£ 216,863,559** being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
 - (d) **£ 1,563.0435** being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).
 - (e) **£ 535,435** being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).
 - (f) **£ 1,559.1844** being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above),

calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.
5. **£ 15,238,436** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.
6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2019/20 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95

Bradfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Bradfield Parish Council	27.93	32.59	37.25	41.90	51.21	60.52	69.84	83.80
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75

Ecclesfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Ecclesfield Parish Council	11.21	13.08	14.95	16.82	20.56	24.30	28.03	33.64
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Stocksbridge Town Council	21.91	25.56	29.21	32.86	40.16	47.46	54.77	65.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2019/20	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95
Bradfield Parish Council	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59
Stocksbridge Town Council	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

Appendix 6c

Parish Council Precepts

2018/19						2019/20					
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase

Bradfield	5,732.16	235,467	41.0782	6,403	241,870	5,757.78	241,250	41.8998	5,122	246,372	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,181.65	149,912	16.3274	6,426	156,338	9,238.98	155,374	16.8172	5,141	160,515	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,749.60	119,618	31.9015	4,624	124,242	3,799.59	124,849	32.8586	3,699	128,548	3.00%
Total/ Average	18,663.41	504,997	27.0581	17,453	522,450	18,796.35	521,473	27.7433	13,962	535,435	2.53%

8.6 After contributions from 36 Members, the amendment moved by Councillor Olivia Blake was put to the vote and was carried.

8.6.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (44) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Moya O'Rourke, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against the amendment (22) - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Steve Ayris, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, John Booker and Mike Levery.

Abstained from voting on the amendment (6) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal.

8.7 The amendment moved by Councillor Simon Clement-Jones was then put to the vote and was negated.

8.7.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

- For the amendment (20) - Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Adam Hanrahan, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Gail Smith, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley and Mike Levery.
- Against the amendment (46) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Moya O'Rourke, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, Jack Clarkson, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, John Booker, Adam Hurst, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.
- Abstained from voting on the amendment (6) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal.
- 8.8 The amendment moved by Councillor Rob Murphy was then put to the vote and was negatived.
- 8.8.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-
- For the amendment (6) - Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps, Alison Teal and John Booker.
- Against the amendment (64) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Adam Hanrahan, Mohammed Mahroof, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Moya O'Rourke, Roger

Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Abdul Khayum, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Mike Levery, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained from voting on the amendment (2) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and Councillor Jack Clarkson.

8.9 The amendment moved by Councillor Jack Clarkson was then put to the vote and was negatived.

8.9.1 The votes on the amendment were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the amendment (2) - Councillors Jack Clarkson and John Booker.

Against the amendment (63) - The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Adam Hanrahan, Mohammed Mahroof, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Andy Bainbridge, Moya O'Rourke, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Abtisam Mohamed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Lewis Dagnall, Chris Peace, Ian Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Gail Smith, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Mike Levery, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Abstained from voting on the amendment (6) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid) and Councillors Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps and Alison Teal.

8.10 The original Motion, as amended, was then put as a Substantive Motion in the following form and carried:-

RESOLVED: That this Council:-

- (1) places on record its thanks to the staff who continue to serve the Council in these incredibly difficult times, which year-on-year lead to uncertainty about their own futures and those of their colleagues, many of whom are left to pick up an increased workload as a result of cuts to staffing numbers;
- (2) as regards the national political context:-
 1. notes that since 2010, the continued drive by Central Government to eliminate Revenue Support Grant (RSG) and other funding streams, has been so severe that the Council has to find £30 million in savings for the upcoming financial year, in addition to the £460 million of savings already made since 2010;
 2. believes that the Rt. Hon. Theresa May MP's government is continuing with the same failed policies of the previous government and that the Government's claims that "austerity is over" are completely fictitious, as local government services continue to be cut to the bone;
 3. notes that councils are bearing the brunt of an austerity programme in its ninth year; it is, as such, this Council's contention that the continuation of austerity is a political choice by the Government based on their ideological commitment to shrinking the state, rather than an economic imperative, a belief shared by the Liberal Democrats who went along with this when in coalition government;
 4. believes that the Government has no sustainable plan for local government finance and that the proposed "fair funding" review is likely to make things even worse and could see the removal of deprivation from the funding formula; meaning that urban and poorer areas, such as Sheffield, are likely to see funding taken away to benefit rural shire counties, who are predominantly under Conservative control; and
 5. believes that the Labour Party is right to call to an immediate end the unnecessary, cruel and deeply damaging austerity programme, and to instead give public services and local authorities the funding they so desperately need;
- (3) notes the following regarding the local government budget challenge:-
 1. that in addition to the cuts forced on local authorities, the increased demand for services at an increased cost is making it harder and harder

for councils to balance their budgets and provide the desired services;

2. because of woefully inadequate central government funding, the Council is set to overspend by approximately £10 million, and the budget for 2019/20, therefore, includes for the first year a planned use for £11 million of reserves in order to sustain social care services in the face of rising demands and the only alternative would be to cut £11 million from social care, which this Administration does not believe to be acceptable;
3. that in addition to the increasing pressure on services, central government grants and funding are being reduced; together this has resulted in an increasing “budget gap”, predicted to be £100 million by 2022/23 by the Council’s 2019 Medium Term Financial Analysis (MTFA); and
4. that despite these factors, the present Administration has protected front-line services as far as possible and succeeded in protecting services for the most vulnerable;

(4) notes the following regarding social care:-

1. that social care for children and adults is now at “breaking point” nationwide, the result of nine years of cuts forced by Central Government;
2. that national overspend in children’s social care in 2017-18 (£816.5m) is the biggest in each of the last four years, according to the Local Government Chronicle’s analysis of councils’ projected and actual spends on services, a result of escalating demands for services;
3. further, that it is estimated by the Local Government Association (LGA) that 88% of councils responsible for children’s services overspent in 2017-18, denoting the scale of the challenge and how woefully inadequate central government funding has been;
4. that the slashed budgets and increased costs in social care and children’s services means that councils are, in the words of the LGA, “close to the edge”, and that the LGA estimate that councils in England face an overall funding gap of £5.8 billion by 2020;
5. that there is a wide disparity in the ability of local authorities to raise income from council tax increases; for instance, a 1% rise in Sheffield is worth £2.1 million – less than a 1% rise in Conservative-run Surrey (worth £7.0 million);
6. that unlike last year, where a raise of 3% was permitted, there is no increase in the adult social care precept for 2019/20;
7. believes that despite these great pressures, this Administration has worked hard to secure a better long-term future for social care in

Sheffield;

8. this Administration has allocated an additional £35 million of funding to fund the pressures within our social care services and protect the most vulnerable people in our communities, with the People Services Portfolio budget increasing from £198m in 2017/18 to £233m in 2019/20;
 9. notes that this additional funding has come from the planned use of reserves, savings from across the rest of the Council, and additional Council Tax income (with a rise of 2.99% on bills); and
 10. that the Administration's investment in children's social care will have a positive and lasting long-term impact as, for example, it is being spent on children's social workers, the Successful Families Initiative and the current fostering campaign, all of which is aimed at providing the right preventative and support services to enable vulnerable children to be supported in the most effective environments;
- (5) as regards Council services, notes and/or believes the following:-
1. that this Administration is enacting Labour values and showing real alternatives to the failed dogma of the Conservatives:-
 - (i) by bringing services back "in-house"; housing repairs, IT and Digital services, Human Resources and payroll, and setting up an in-house out-of-hours customer services telephone line;
 - (ii) we are now fully accredited as a Foundation Living Wage employer;
 - (iii) our ethical procurement strategy commits the Council to ensuring high standards of ethical practice in the way it trades, and works with partners, companies and inward investors across the city; and
 - (iv) pledged support to the TUC's Great Jobs Agenda and ensured the Council signed up to the Co-Operative Party's Charter against Modern Day Slavery;
 2. that this Administration is improving the living standards for all by driving up growth in our local economy through capital investment – we are investing in exciting developments for the Heart of City II scheme, for Lower Don Valley flood defence works, on the Olympic Legacy Park, the Knowledge Gateway, Charter Square enabling works and Fitzalan Square;
 3. that this Administration's Housing Revenue Account (HRA) demonstrates its commitment to council housing, despite the challenging financial climate:-
 - (i) its commitment to provide 1,600 new homes, and acquiring new

- homes to substantially increase the number of homes and type of homes the city needs;
- (ii) providing £400 million of investment over the next five years to make sure homes and estates are well maintained;
 - (iii) prioritised investment into fire safety work including cladding, sprinkler systems and other fire safety measures, and that work is already underway to fit all council tower blocks with sprinklers; and
 - (iv) that this Administration will continue to take a proactive approach to managing our neighbourhoods and supporting our tenants;
4. that the Administration has made provisions to protect those affected by the Government's continued, and botched, rollout of Universal Credit and has given assurances that no tenant will be evicted for delays in payment that are solely a result of delayed payments in their Universal Credit;
 5. that the Administration has maintained the council tax support scheme and increased the council tax hardship fund by £200,000 for 2019/20, meaning the Hardship Fund will total £1.4 million;
 6. that the Government's National Funding Formula implementation is being implemented too slowly for schools, and this will have a significant impact on Sheffield's primary schools, when what is really required is significant funding increases to all of our schools, with specific additional funding to schools most in need;
 7. that this Administration is ensuring sufficient school places for children is a key priority; with Sheffield families continuing to have a better chance than most of accessing one of their preferred schools – over 96% in this year's intake were able to get a school of their choice - and work is well underway to provide more capacity in a number of areas across the city;
 8. that the Administration is prioritising transport to deliver safe, well maintained streets which enable the city's on-going development and is exploring more segregated networks for public transport, walking, cycling and private cars to reduce conflict and accidents and promote transport speed, capacity and choice – it is this Administration's aim to deliver an integrated transport system, where different modes of transport complement each other;
 9. that this Administration is funding road safety to ensure that Sheffield is moving and freer from accidents and delays, with Sheffield's neighbourhoods safe and liveable through initiatives such as 20mph speed limits; and
 10. that through the policies of the Administration, Sheffield is showing locally what a future Labour government would look like;

- (6) notes the following regarding job losses:-
1. that as a result of severe budget cuts, there could be up to 136 redundancies during the financial year 2019/20;
 2. that this Administration, as in previous years, will take steps to minimise redundancies, such as offering voluntary severance and voluntary early retirement schemes, as well as using vacancies not yet filled; and
 3. that the Council expresses sincere and heartfelt sympathy to those members of staff who are losing their jobs through redundancy;
- (7) notes the following regarding the budget process:-
1. that thanks is given to the Council’s Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee and all of the Members involved in this crucial work; and
 2. that this year’s budget process involved a large consultation process, and the public is thanked for their involvement;
- (8) therefore requests the Executive Director, Resources to implement the City Council’s Revenue Budget and Capital Programme 2019/2020 in accordance with the details set out in the reports on the Revenue Budget and Capital Programme now submitted;
- (9) approves the contents of the Capital Strategy and Budget Book and the specific projects included in the years 2019/20 to 2023/24, and that block allocations are included within the Programme for noting at this stage and detailed proposals will be brought back for separate Member approval as part of the monthly monitoring procedures;
- (10) approves the proposed Capital Programme for the 5 years to 2023/24 as per appendix 1 of the Capital Strategy report;
- (11) after noting the joint report of the Chief Executive and the Executive Director, Resources now submitted on the Revenue Budget 2019/20, approves and adopts a net Revenue Budget for 2019/20 amounting to £403.291m, as set out in Appendix 3 of that report, as follows:-

2018/19	<u>Summary Revenue Budget</u>	Appendix 3
£000		2019/20
		£000
	Portfolio budgets:	
213,144	People	232,655
147,714	Place	145,422
1,973	Policy Performance and Communications	2,195

38,400	Resources (inc. Housing Benefit & Council Tax Collection)	39,748
401,231		420,020
	Corporate Budgets:	
	Specific Grants	
-74,437	PFI Grant	-74,437
-5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	-5,961
-2,375	Business Rates Transitional Grant	-3,261
-5,870	Small Business Rates Relief	-6,841
-12,641	Improved Better Care Fund	-21,896
0	Retail Relief	-1,518
-1,700	Adult Social Care Grant (One-Off 2018/19)	0
	Corporate Items	
5,500	Redundancy Provision	5,500
-13,507	Pension Costs	-13,507
5,722	New Homes Bonus (LGF)	5,961
-1,138	Public Health Savings / re-investments	-1,138
3,000	Better Care Fund	3,000
4,990	Social Care Demand Contingency	3,290
4,000	Strengthening Families - Think Forward Investment	4,000
25,488	Schools and Howden PFI	25,702
900	Infrastructure Investment	900
17	Payment to Parish Councils	14
2,900	Other	4,600
	Capital Financing Costs	
13,662	General Capital Financing Costs	13,662
0	Heart of the City 2	4,573
13,454	Streets Ahead Investment	13,092
18,993	MSF Capital Financing Costs	18,887
	Reserves Movements	
-2,098	Contribution from Reserves	-13,268
21,917	Reserves Movements Relating to Pension Early Payment	21,917
402,286	Total Expenditure	403,291
	Financing of Net Expenditure	
-52,390	Revenue Support Grant	-36,893
-99,508	NNDR/Business Rates Income	-99,341
-42,355	Business Rates Top Up Grant	-42,529
-190,803	Council Tax income	-201,090
-1,876	Collection Fund surplus	-8,200
-14,925	Social Care Precept	-15,238
-401,857	Total Financing	-403,291

- (12) approves a Band D equivalent Council Tax of £1,559.18 for City Council services, i.e. an increase of 2.99%;
- (13) approves the Council Tax charges in respect of Long Term Empty properties, as outlined in paragraphs 51 to 54 of the Revenue Budget report, with effect from 1st April 2019;
- (14) notes that the Section 151 Officer has reviewed the robustness of the estimates and the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves, in accordance with Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003, and further details can be found in Appendix 4 of the Revenue Budget report and within the Section 25 Statutory Statement on Sustainability of Budget and Level of Reserves from paragraphs 6 to 12 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (15) approves the savings as set out in Appendix 2 of the report on the Revenue Budget;
- (16) approves the Revenue Budget allocations for each of the services, as set out in Appendices 3a to 3d of the Revenue Budget report;
- (17) notes the latest 2018/19 budget monitoring position;
- (18) approves the Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategies set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report and the recommendations contained therein;
- (19) approves the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy Statement set out in Appendix 7 of the Revenue Budget report, which takes into account the revisions proposed for 2018/19 onwards;
- (20) agrees that authority be delegated to the Executive Director of Resources to undertake Treasury Management activity, to create and amend appropriate Treasury Management Practice Statements and to report on the operation of Treasury Management activity on the terms set out in these documents;
- (21) notes the information provided on the medium term financial outlook contained within the Medium Term Financial Analysis, attached as Appendix 10 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (22) approves a Pay Policy for 2019/20 as set out in Appendix 8 of the Revenue Budget report;
- (23) agrees that the Members' Allowances Scheme for 2017/18 and onwards, approved on 3 March 2017, and implemented for 2018/19, be also implemented for 2019/20;
- (24) approves the proposed amount of compensation to Parish Councils for the loss of Council Tax income in 2019/20 at the levels shown in the table below

paragraph 164 of the Revenue Budget report;

- (25) notes the precepts issued by local parish councils which add £535,435 to the calculation of the budget requirement in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;
- (26) notes the information on the precepts issued by the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner and the South Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Authority, together with the impact of these on the overall amount of Council Tax to be charged in the City Council's area;
- (27) notes that, based on the estimated expenditure level of £403.291m set out in paragraph (11) above, the amounts shown in Appendix 6b below would be calculated by the City Council for the year 2019/20, in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;

Appendix 6a

CITY OF SHEFFIELD
CALCULATION OF RECOMMENDED COUNCIL TAX FOR 2019/20 REVENUE
BUDGET

The Council is recommended to resolve as follows:

1. It be noted that on 15th January 2019, the Council calculated the Council Tax Base 2019/20
 - (a) for the whole Council area as:
138,744.42 (item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")); and
 - (b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as in the attached Appendix 6c.
2. Calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2019/20 (excluding Parish precepts) is:
£ 216,328,124
3. That the following amounts be calculated for the year 2019/20 in accordance with Sections 31 to 36 of the Act:
 - (a) **£ 1,388,369,435** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) **£ 1,171,505,876** being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) **£ 216,863,559** being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in

accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year (item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).

(d) **£ 1,563.0435** being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish Precepts).

(e) **£ 535,435** being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Appendix 6b).

(f) **£ 1,559.1844** being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.

4. To note that the Police and Crime Commissioner and the Fire and Rescue Authority have issued precepts to the Council in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for each category of dwellings in the Council's area as indicated in the table overleaf.

5. **£ 15,238,436** The amount set by the authority at 2 above, under section 30 of the Act, includes an amount attributable to the adult social care precept.

6. That the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the aggregate amounts shown in the tables below as the amounts of Council Tax for 2019/20 for each part of its area and for each of the categories of dwellings.

Sheffield City Council (non-parish areas)

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95

Bradfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Bradfield Parish Council	27.93	32.59	37.25	41.90	51.21	60.52	69.84	83.80
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26

Council 6.03.2019

South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75

Ecclesfield Parish Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Ecclesfield Parish Council	11.21	13.08	14.95	16.82	20.56	24.30	28.03	33.64
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council

	Valuation Band							
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
Stocksbridge Town Council	21.91	25.56	29.21	32.86	40.16	47.46	54.77	65.72
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Aggregate of Council tax requirements	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67

7. The Council's basic amount of Council Tax is not excessive in accordance with the principles approved under Section 52ZB of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, therefore no referendum is required.

Appendix 6b

Council Tax Schedule 2019/20	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
Sheffield City Council	1,039.46	1,212.70	1,385.94	1,559.18	1,905.67	2,252.16	2,598.64	3,118.37
South Yorkshire Fire & Rescue Authority	48.75	56.88	65.00	73.13	89.38	105.63	121.88	146.26
South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner	129.44	151.01	172.59	194.16	237.31	280.45	323.60	388.32
Total charge for non-parish areas of Sheffield	1,217.65	1,420.59	1,623.53	1,826.47	2,232.36	2,638.24	3,044.12	3,652.95
Bradfield Parish Council	1,245.58	1,453.18	1,660.78	1,868.37	2,283.57	2,698.76	3,113.96	3,736.75
Ecclesfield Parish Council	1,228.86	1,433.67	1,638.48	1,843.29	2,252.92	2,662.54	3,072.15	3,686.59

Stocksbridge Town Council	1,239.56	1,446.15	1,652.74	1,859.33	2,272.52	2,685.70	3,098.89	3,718.67
---------------------------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Appendix 6c

Parish Council Precepts

2018/19						2019/20					
Parish Council	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Tax Base	Council Tax Income (£)	Council Tax Band D (£)	CTS Grants	Total Precept	Council Tax Increase
Bradfield	5,732.16	235,467	41.0782	6,403	241,870	5,757.78	241,250	41.8998	5,122	246,372	2.00%
Ecclesfield	9,181.65	149,912	16.3274	6,426	156,338	9,238.98	155,374	16.8172	5,141	160,515	3.00%
Stocksbridge	3,749.60	119,618	31.9015	4,624	124,242	3,799.59	124,849	32.8586	3,699	128,548	3.00%
Total/Average	18,663.41	504,997	27.0581	17,453	522,450	18,796.35	521,473	27.7433	13,962	535,435	2.53%

8.10.1 The votes on the Substantive Motion were ordered to be recorded and were as follows:-

For the Substantive Motion (43)

- The Deputy Lord Mayor (Councillor Tony Downing) and Councillors Chris Rosling-Josephs, Sophie Wilson, Denise Fox, Bryan Lodge, Karen McGowan, Jackie Drayton, Talib Hussain, Mark Jones, Mazher Iqbal, Mary Lea, Zahira Naz, Andy Bainbridge, Moya O'Rourke, Abtisam Mohamed, Lewis Dagnall, Chris Peace, Bob Johnson, George Lindars-Hammond, Josie Paszek, Lisa Banes, Terry Fox, Pat Midgley, David Barker, Jim Steinke, Julie Dore, Ben Miskell, Mike Drabble, Dianne Hurst, Peter Rippon, Dawn Dale, Peter Price, Garry Weatherall, Mike Chaplin, Jayne Dunn, Francyne Johnson, Olivia Blake, Ben Curran, Neale Gibson, Adam Hurst, Mick Rooney, Jackie Satur and Paul Wood.

Against the Substantive Motion (27)

- Councillors Simon Clement-Jones, Bob Pullin, Richard Shaw, Kaltum Rivers, Douglas Johnson, Robert Murphy, Martin Phipps, Adam Hanrahan, Mohammed Mahroof, Joe Otten, Colin Ross, Martin Smith, Roger Davison, Shaffaq Mohammed, Sue Alston, Andrew Sangar, Cliff Woodcraft, Ian Auckland, Steve Ayriss, Gail Smith, Alison Teal, David Baker, Penny Baker, Vickie Priestley, Jack Clarkson, John Booker and Mike Levery.

Abstained from voting on the Substantive Motion (1) - The Lord Mayor (Councillor Magid Magid).